1028 1880 P3 H

HARBOR DUES AND TRANSIT CHARGES

MONTREAD AND ATLANTIC PORTS.

AT

HA

HARBOR DUES AND TRANSIT CHARGES

AT

MONTREAL AND ATLANTIC PORTS.

A COMMUNICATION FROM THE COUNCIL OF THE
"MONTREAL BOARD OF TRADE," AND THE
COMMITTEE OF MANAGEMENT OF THE
"MONTREAL CORN EXCHANGE ASSOCIATION,"
IN REPLY TO A LETTER FROM

THE

HON. H. L. LANGEVIN, C.B.

MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS, OTTAWA,

Montreal :

D. BENTLEY & Co., PRINTERS.

1880.

L F1028 1880 P3 H

Hon.

the P the jo you w Harb

1

be pre upon o more

may e Canals speedy of Car of you the M

I more of the Casubmit from y

whole

HON. H. L. LANGEVIN, C. B.,

MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS,

OTTAWA.

SIR,

By instructions from the President of the Board of Trade, and the President of the Corn Exchange Association, I have the honor to transmit the joint reply of their respective Boards, to your letter of 28th June,—in which you were pleased to request answers to certain inquiries relating to Canal Tolls, Harbor Dues, &c. The communication is in four sections, viz.:

- 1. Statements relating to the Carrying Trade; -on pages 5 to 13 inclusive.
- 2. Replies in Detail to the Inquiries contained in your letter;—on pages 14 to 31 inclusive.
- 3. Additional Information; -on pages 32 to 42 inclusive.
- 4. Summary of Conclusions; -on pages 43 and 44.

It is regretted that so much time has elapsed before this joint answer could be presented; but it seemed to be essential that all particulars in any way bearing upon the subject should be succinctly laid before you, and in doing this, much more time has been occupied than was at first anticipated.

I am now to express to you the hope that the varied information submitted may enable you, in concert with your Colleague, the Minister of Railways and Canals, to present such recommendations to the Government, as will secure the speedy removal of all the burdens and disabilities which prevent the expansion of Canadian Commerce by the River St. Lawrence. If this shall be the result of your deliberations, you will be instrumental in conferring a great boon upon the Mercantile and Shipping interests, as well as upon the general trade of the whole country.

I am only further to suggest that, if you consider it desirable to have a few more copies of the appended document to enable you to furnish one to such of the Cabinet Ministers as may, along with yourself, wish to examine the details submitted,—I am directed by the Presidents to comply with any instruction from you in the matter.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your obedient servant,

WM. J. PATTERSON,

Secretary, Board of Trade, and Corn Exchange Association.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, CANADA,

OTTAWA, 17th November, 1880.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge, with the thanks of the Hon. the Minister, the receipt of your letter of the 12th inst., and the accompanying pamphlet, entitled "HARBOR DUES AND TRANSIT CHARGES AT MONTREAL AND ATLANTIC PORTS," being "a Communication from the Council of the Montreal Board of Trade, and the Committee of Management of the Montreal Corn Exchange Association."

In accordance with the suggestion and offer contained in your letter, the Hon. the Minister requests that you will be so kind as to furnish him with fifteen (15) copies of the pamphlet, for the use of his Colleagues.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your obedient servant,

F. H. ENNIS,

Secretary.

WM. J. PATTERSON, Esq.

Secretary Board of Trade,

Corn Exchange Association,

MONTREAL.

LET

F. W

Honor inform

from the S

recent and W I am Associ

SI

posses Railwa would

Stater

2nd. 3rd.

4th.

5th.

INTRODUCTORY.

LETTER FROM THE SECRETARY OF THE BOARD OF TRADE, AND THE CORN EXCHANGE ASSOCIATION.

F. W. HENSHAW, Esq.,

President Board of Trade;

AND

ROBERT ESDAILE, Esq.,

President Corn Exchange Association:-

GENTLEMEN,

Communications were addressed to you respectively, by the Honorable the MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS, in which he requested sundry information, that he might "be in a position to fully enter into and discuss the questions recently laid before the Federal Government by the several Deputations from the East and West of Canada,—viz., the freedom, as far as practicable, of the St. Lawrence route." The letters to you were precisely alike. The following is a copy:—

OTTAWA, 28th June, 1880.

In order to be in a position to fully enter into and discuss the questions recently laid before the Federal Government by the several Deputations from the East and West of Canada, viz:—the freedom, as far as practicable, of the St. Lawrence route, I am desirous of acquiring certain information which, I believe, the Corn Exchange Association, [the Board of Trade,] and other Public Bodies can furnish me with, the possession of which would enable me, together with my colleague, the Minister of Railways and Canals, to lay before the Privy Council such Report upon the subject as

would form the basis of our discussions.

I have therefore the honor to request that you will furnish me with the following Statements:—

- 1st. A Statement showing the comparative cost of Transport viâ the Erie Canal and the St. Lawrence Canal.
- 2nd. The Tolls charged on both routes.
- 3rd. A statement showing the comparative cost of Harbor Dues in Montreal, New York, Philadelphia, Boston and Baltimore.
- 4th. What reduction in Dues your Board would recommend, either as to Tonnage dues on Vessels, or Wharfage rates on Goods, in order to successfully compete with the Ports above-mentioned?
- 5th. The comparative cost of Pilotage at all the above-mentioned Ports, and what remedy your Board would propose in order to reduce the cost of this service below Quebec, as also from Quebec to Montreal.

NIS,

Secretary.

ember, 1880.

he thanks of

nst., and the

CHARGES AT

the Council

ement of the

ur letter, the

sh him with

6th. What remedy your Board would propose to lessen the cost of Towage of Sailing Vessels from Father Point to Quebec and from Quebec to Montreal.

I will thank you to let me have the information above-named as soon as practicable, and also to furnish any further data bearing upon this subject.

I remain, SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

HECTOR L. LANGEVIN.

It having been determined that the inquiries could be more satisfactorily made and reported upon jointly, than were your Corporations to submit separate statements, the undersigned was instructed to make investigation and submit proposed answers to the Minister's questions; presenting all particulars in the form that may be considered most explicit and useful. This I now have the honor to do; and the only apology that can be made for the delay in presenting my report, is the range of the investigation that seemed necessary, extending over long periods,—and the diversity of particulars which were considered to have a bearing upon the general question.

My aim has mainly been to collect and systematise all available information bearing, directly or indirectly, on matters referred to in the foregoing letter.

This communication has assumed dimensions which, at the outset, were not contemplated. After much condensation, the first section is but little more than a synopsis of the progress of the Carrying Trade of North America during the past quarter of a century. The second, embracing the replies to the Minister's inquiries, is worth examining; and it is hoped that the result of the consideration which the subject is receiving from the mercantile community and the Government, may be the adoption of a policy that will preserve the trade of Canada's Great Water Highway from being broken down, as has been that of the Erie Canal.

The inquiry, of which the matter in the following pages is the out-come, has been a tedious but congenial one; and I have only further to express my solicitude that the particulars adduced may tend to the speedy initiation of measures that will free the inland and ocean commerce of Canada from every obstructive burden.

I am, GENTLEMEN,

Your obedient servant,

WM. J. PATTERSON,

Secretary.

MONTREAL, 6th November, 1880.

INTRO

STATE

']

T

M

REPLI

I

wage of Sailing al.

as practicable,

NGEVIN.

satisfactorily omit separate and submit culars in the ow have the in presenting stending over ed to have a

information letter.

t little more erica during the Minister's f the consiity and the the trade of

t-come, has y solicitude asures that ive burden.

that of the

SON, Secretary.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE.
INTRODUCTORY:—LETTER FROM THE SECRETARY OF THE BOARD OF TRADE, AND THE CORN EXCHANGE ASSOCIATION.	1
STATEMENTS RELATING TO THE CARRYING TRADE:-	
Transportation from Lake Erie Traffic Statements of New York Central and Erie Railways, and the	5
Erie Canal	6
Comparative Traffic-earnings of the New York Railways and Canals	7
TRANSPORTATION FROM LAKE ONTARIO	7
Statements of Quantities and Percentages	8
TRAFFIC MOVEMENT FROM BOTH LAKES	8
Comparative Statements for Railways and Canals during ten years	9
Results	10
MOVEMENT OF BREADSTUFFS AT THE SEABOARD	10
Comparative Statement relating to Atlantic Ports	11
Results as regards Montreal	12
INFERENCES FROM THE FOREGOING STATEMENTS	13
REPLIES TO THE INQUIRIES OF THE MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS:-	
I AND II RATES OF FREIGHT AND CANAL TOLLS	14
Comparative Rates of Freight for nineteen years	14
Comparative Rates of Freight and Tolls on Erie Canal for nineteen years	15
III,—HARBOR DUES AND OTHER CHARGES	16
U. S. Charges on Vessels Inward and Outward	17
Extracts from U. S. Navigation Laws	17
1. Port of Boston	19
2. Port of New York	20
3. Port of Philadelphia	20
4. Port of Baltimore	21
5. Port of Montreal	22
IV.—REPEAL AND REDUCTION OF HARBOR DUES	24 -

		PAGE
VR	ATES OF PILOTAGE	25
	1. Port of Boston	25
	2. Port of New York	26
	3. Port of Philadelphia	26
	4. Port of Baltimore	27
	5. Port of Montreal	27
VI.—	THE TOWAGE QUESTION	28
	1. Port of Boston	28
	2. Port of New York	28
	3. Port of Philadelphia	29
	4. Port of Baltimore	29
1	5. Port of Montreal	29
	6. How the Towage Business is Worked	30
	7. Suggestions towards a Remedy	31
ADDITION.	AL INFORMATION:—	
RATES	OF OCEAN FREIGHT	32
	Table of average Rates of Freight for heavy Grain per 480 lbs., from	
	Montreal to Liverpool, during a period of nineteen years	33
	Comparative Rates from Montreal and Boston to Liverpool, for two	
	years	35
	Comparative Rates from New York and Baltimore to Liverpool, for	00
	two years	36
	Comparative Rates at Montreal and New York, for season 1880,	37
CRAFT	FOR PORTS OF CALL	38
	Comparative Statements of Steamships and Sailing Vessels with their	39
D	Cargoes for ten years	40
BUMMARI	OF CONCLUSIONS	43

STA

from years by th move

gener of fo

that and The 1869 and

rega imm York

chan

have Wes mag

STATEMENTS RELATING TO THE CARRYING TRADE.

.

lbs., from

l, for two

rpool, for

1880,....

vith their

.

TRANSPORTATION FROM LAKE ERIE.

The table on page 6 shows the volume of traffic which has been flowing from the level of Lake Erie towards the sea-board during the past twenty-four years,—the quantities of vegetable food of all kinds that were carried eastward by the New York Central and Erie railroads,—the quantities of breadstuffs moved in the same direction via the New York Canals,—the quantities of general eastward traffic by each of these routes,—and the combined aggregates of food and merchandise so transported.

A glance at the last column of that statement will show, in a general way, that the eastward current of traffic during the entire period, has been great, and steadily increasing,—the most notable exceptions being in 1875 and 1876. The railway columns indicate that, for many years by the Erie railway, and since 1869 by the New York Central, much the larger proportions of breadstuffs and general merchandise have passed from the Lake Erie region by these channels; while the Canal traffic, especially in breadstuffs, cannot be said to have been maintained at what it was years ago,—for, since 1861 and 1862, as regards Flour and Wheat, no year's business has equalled either of these. The immense increase in railway traffic to the sea-board through the State of New York, is all the more remarkable when it is remembered that other trunk lines have, for several years, been drawing away freight from the Western and North Western States to ocean ports at Philadelphia and Baltimore. Some idea of the magnitude and growth of the transportation of breadstuffs diverted to these cities, may be formed from the table on page 11.

	NEW YORK CENTRAL BAILWAY.	TBAL BAILWAY.	ERIK RA	SRIE BAILWAY.	NEW YOR	NEW YORK CANALS.	AGGREGATE BY BAILWAYS AND CANALS.	GATE BY RAILWA AND CANALS.
YKARS.	Tons of Vege- table Food.	Aggregate Tonnage.	Tons of Vege- table Food.	Aggregate Tonnage.	Tons of Wheat and Flour.	Aggregate Tonnage.	Tons of Food.	Grand Aggregate of Tonnage.
1856	283,027	776,112	148,943	. 993,321	475,385	4,116,082	906,355	5,825,415
1857	275.941	838.791	120,617	978,066	263,141	3,344,061	629,639	5,160,918
	301.507	765,407	154,534	816,965	454,831	3,665,192	910,872	5,237,564
	249,751	834,319	112,727	869,072	250.872	3,781,684	613,350	5,485,075
1860	343,872	1.028,183	197,233	1,139,554	710,138	4,650,214	1,251,243	6,817,951
1861	441,562	1.167,302	243,959	1,253,419	1,054,295	4,507,635	1,739,816	6,928,356
1862.	469,885	1,387,433	261,824	1,632,955	1,177,299	5,598,785	1.909,008	8,619,173
1863	405,380	1,449,604	228,632	1,815,096	846,446	5,557,692	1,480,458	8,822,392
1864	461,511	1,557,148	215,986	2,170,798	606,891	4,852.941	1,284,388	8,580,8
1865	349,103	1,275,299	212,677	2,234,350	420,643	4,729,654	982,423	8,239,303
1866	453,663	1,602,197	397,963	3,242,792	289,166	5,775,220	1,140,792	10,620,209
1867.	495,194	1,667,926	277,432	3,484,546	332,589	5,688,325	1,105,215	10,840,797
1868	568,680	1,846,599	302,451	3,908,243	390,852	6,442,225	1,261,983	12,197,067
1869	764,831	2,281,885	322,978	4,312,209	636,670	5,859,080	1,724,479	12,453,174
1870	1,297,481	4,122,000	468,976	4,852,505	575,684	6,173,769	2,342,141	15,148,274
1871	1,459,919	4,532,056	745,670	4,844,208	678,450	6,467,888	2,884,039	15,844,152
1872	1,158,894	4,393,965	711,720	5,564,274	356,917	6,673,370	2,227,531	16,631,609
1873	1,452,962	5,522,724	584,030	6,312,702	682,827	6,364,782	2,719,819	18,200,208
1874	1,678,476	6,114,678	791,265	6,364,276	726,702	5,804,588	3,196,443	18,283,542
1875	1,669,070	6,001,954	674,174	6,239,946	686,709	4,859,958	3,029,953	17,101,858
1876	2,100,339	6.803.680	775,464	5,972,818	357,683	4,172,129	3,233,486	16,948,627
	1.787,112	6,351,356	706.571	6,182,451	385,072	4,955,963	2,878,755	17,489,770
1878.	2,628,190	7,695,413	1,067,574	6,150,568	811,908	5,171,320	4,507,672	19,017,30
1879.				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		:

carni respo being

Y

Erie Yor

whi yea the

The following concise statement shows the eastward and westward traffic carnings of the New York Central and the Erie railways, and the Canals respectively for a period of twenty-four years,—the rates per ton per mile being also given. It appears that, though the rate by canal is 33 to 50 per cent. less than by the railways, the water route has not been able to hold its own.

	N. Y. CENTRA	L RAIL	WAY.	ERIE RA	ILWA	Y.	New York	CANA	LS.
YEARS.	Amount of Freight earned.	Averag per to mi	n per	Amount of Freight earned.	per t	age rate ton per nile,	Amount of Freight and Tolls.	Averag per to mi	n per
1856	\$ 4,328,041	2.97 0	ents.	\$ 4,545,782	2.48	cents.	\$ 6,573,225	1.11 0	ents
1857	4,559,276	3.13	- 66	4,097,610	2.45	44	3,876,000	7.99 r	nills
1858	3,700,270	2.59	66	3,843,310	3 32	66	4,502,437	7.97	66
1859	3,337,148	2.13	66	3,195,869	2.17	44	3,665,806	6.72	44
1860	4,095,934	2.06	66	3,884,343	1.84	44	8,049,450	9.94	"
1861	4,644,449	1.96	44,	4,351,464	1.73	84	9,369,378	1.08	ents
1862	6,607,331	2.22	66	6,642,915	1.89	66	10,780,431	9.59 1	mills
1863	7,498,509	2.40	и	8,432,234	2.09	66	9,065,005	8 76	"
1864	8,543,370	2.75	- 66	9,855,087	2 31	**	10,039,609	1.15	ents
1865	8,776,028	3.31	- 66	10,726,264	2.76	44	8,605,961	1.10	66
1866	9,671,920	2.92	- ((11,611,023	2.45	66	10,160,051	1.00	64
1867	9,151,750	2.53	- 66	11,204,689	2 04	**	8,663,119	0.90	66
1868	9,491,427	2.59	. "	11,425,739	1.92	16	9,012,659	0.88	64
1869	10,457,582	2.20	"	13,046,804	1.60	u	8,492,131	0 92	64
1870	14,327,418	1.86	66	12,328,027	1.37	44	7,552,988	0 83	6.6
1871	14,647,580	1.65	66	13,232,235	1.47	61	10,779,887	1.02	44
1872	16,259,647	1.69	-64	14,509,745	1.52	46	10,648,711	1.02	44
1873	19,616,018	1.57	"	15,015,808	1.45	4.0	9,267,503	0.88	4.6
1874	20,348,735	1.47	41	13,740,042	1.31	44	6,972,607	0.73	66
1875	17,899,702	1.27	"	12,287,400	1.21	66	4,863,137	0.66	64
1876	17,593,265	1.05	**	11,429,930	1.07	66	3,898,919	0.68	66
1877	16,424,316	1.02	"	10,647,807	0.96		4,839,033	0.57	44
1878	19,045,830	0.91	66	11,914,489	0.97	66	3,936,520	0.42	66
1879					1				

811,908

6,150,568

1,067,574

7,695,413

D61,020,2

The foregoing particulars regarding the eastward movement from Lake Erie have been gathered out of the Annual Reports of the Auditor of the New York State Canals.

TRANSPORTATION FROM LAKE ONTARIO.

The following summary statement shows the aggregate of Flour and Grain which passed eastward from the level of Lake Ontario during the past eleven years. The details have appeared from time to time in the Annual Reports of the Trade and Commerce of Montreal:—

Bushris.	BUSHELS.
186930,852,440	1875 28,582,150
1870	187627,856,724
187135,659,298	187731,324,811
187231,878,595	187829,808,195
187332,449,369	1879
1874 35.124.651	

The annual average movement appears to have been 31,601,853 bushels. The lowest quantity (in 1876) was 11.85 per cent, below the average; the highest (in 1871) was 12.84 per cent, above it; while the quantity in 1879 was 7.47 per cent, above the average of the period, and only 9.09 per cent, above the quantity in 1869.

The following per centages show that the current of transportation from Lake Ontario to the sea-board does not nearly all flow down the River St. Lawrence:—

	Oswego.	CHARLOTTE.	FAIR HAVEN.	CAPE VINCENT	OGDENSBURG.	MONTREAL
	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.	Per Cent.
1869,	$43 \cdot 42$	0.27		0.68	13.36	$42 \cdot 27$
1870	40.77	0.37	• • • •	1.51	15.43	$41 \cdot 92$
1871	39.64	0.29		1.49	13.89	44.69
1872	28.83	0.60		1.35	14.00	$55 \cdot 22$
1873	26.34	0.24		0.97	11.39	61.06
1874	37.02	0.30		0.97	11.96	49.75
1875	29.48	0.67		1.02	8.94	59.89
1876	$27 \cdot 57$	0.54		1.05	3.50	$67 \cdot 54$
1877	$29 \cdot 23$	0.12	0.39	0.74	10.91	58 · 61
1878	17.34	0.20	0.50	0.76	11.20	70.00
1879	23.00	0.05	0.73	0.65	9.72	65 - 85

It appears that the movement via Oswego has diminished considerably,—a good deal of variation has taken place as regards Ogdensburg,—while the figures for Montreal indicate an increase. During five years (1870 to 1874) the annual average for Montreal was 50.53 per cent. of the whole; while during the last half of the decade, the yearly per centage was 64.38.

TRAFFIC MOVEMENT FROM BOTH LAKES.

The preceding statements show separately the movements from Lakes Erie and Ontario, and how small, comparatively speaking, is the traffic of the latter;—a concise view of this transportation question has also been presented in a series of tables by the Commissioner of Inland Revenue, and presented in his Annual Report to the Dominion Government. Mr. Brunel's classification is comprehensive, and the contrasts are made clearly,—confirming, from a different stand-point, the conclusion from figures derived from other sources. The following table is re-formed from his Report:—

01,853 bushels. e average; the ty in 1879 was per cent. above

portation from the River St.

MONTREAL.
Per Cent. 42.27
41.92
44.69
$55 \cdot 22$
61.06
49.75
59.89
$67 \cdot 54$
58 · 61
70.00
65.85

siderably,—a ile the figures i) the annual ring the last

S.

from Lakes
raffic of the
presented in
ented in his
sification is
a different
The follow-

		New York Canals.	Welland Canal,	New York Central and Eric Railways.	Cleared at Buffalo and Tonawandu.	Cleared at Oswego.	Via Welland Canai, from U.S. to U.S. Ports.
		Tons.	Tons.	Tons.	Tons.	Tons.	Tons.
1869		1,302,613	503,860	1,087,809	786,436	267,815	337,530
1870 { Total	Total	1,295,010	596,749 +18·43	1,766,457	802,592 +2·05	$238,181 + 11 \cdot 06$	337,384
1871 { Total	Total	1,850,198 +42·03	668,676 +32·59	2,205,589 +102·75	1,315,693	$297,424 + 11 \cdot 05$	384,585 +13·94
1872 { Total		1,674,320 + 28.53	623,448 +23·73	1,870,614 +71·96	1,317,276	169,818 —36·59	316,619
1873 { Total	Total	1,745,171	540,050 +7·18	2,036,992 +87·25	1,432,174	131,765 —50·08	236,743
1874 { Total	Total Inc. or dec	1,767,598	622,558 +23.56	2,791,517	1,157,503	243,325	290,114
1875 { Total	90	1,305,550	511,990 +1·61	2,343,241 +115.04	1,017,559 +29·38	126,763	291,473 —13·55
1876 { Total	80.	1,064,293	455,022	2,875,803 +165·40	783,331 —0·39	99,975	181,885
1877 { Total		1,408,984	406,567	2,493,683 +129·23	1,223,100 + 25.52	126,899	169,836
1878 { Total	Total Inc. or dec.	1,912,734 +46·83	438,889	3,695,764	1,644,301	93,149	161,117
1879 { Total	gc	1,833,399	422,735 —16·10	4,353,617	1,565,543	$127,168$ — $52 \cdot 51$	126,407

In the preceding table for the decade 1870 to 1879 inclusive, all the increases (+) or decreases (—) from year to year relate to the figures for 1869.

- 1. There were only two years (1870 and 1876) during which the quantities of food-stuffs carried by the New York Canals were less than in 1869, the difference in 1870 not being worth noting;—in each of the other years, with one exception, the increase was large.
- 2. As regards the movement by Welland Canal, there were increases during the first six years of the period, but diminutions during the last four which averaged 14.40 per cent. for each.
- 3. The movements eastward by the two great trunk railways in the State of New York, show a very different result. Every one of the ten years shows augmenting traffic; the increase in 1870 was 62.36 per cent., bounding upward year by year, until in 1879 the augmentation was over 300 per cent.
- 4. The Canal clearances at Buffalo and Tonawanda show large increases, except in 1870 and 1876.
- 5. The Canal clearances at Oswego, show a constant minus (—) difference, except in 1871.
- 6. Since the first two years of the decade the quantities of breadstuffs passing through the Welland Canal, between U.S. ports, have decreased,—the diminution becoming much larger in the four years 1876 to 1879.

MOVEMENT OF BREADSTUFFS AT THE SEA-BOARD.

The tabular statement on the opposite page shows concisely the total quantities of Breadstuffs received at, and shipped from, the Atlantic sea-board, during ten years,—Flour and Meal being given in bushels:—

all the 1869.
antities 69, the ith one

creases st four

e State s shows upward

reases,

ference,

adstuffs d,—the

RD.

e total a-board,

		Boston.	NEW YORK.	Рипларктрита.	BALTIMORE.	NEW ORLEANS.	MONTREAL.	Totals.
		Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels.	Bushels.
} {	Receipts	13,102,703	69,921,175 29,455,814	15,307,011	13,819,101	15,480,179	13,106,630 13,601,310	140,736,799
1871 {	Receipts	15,037,943	89,543,673 43,595,502	20,102,425	17,389,443	14,601,922	16,808,108 16,186,484	173,483,514
1872 {	Receipts	17,068,086	90,930,336 45,901,493	24,117,150	20,571,499	15,256,805	18,115,670 17,522,957	186,059,546
1873 {	Receipts	17,926,202 2,145,364	92,137,971 54,278,072	24,949,157 4,807,620	19,099,517 9,049,545	13,214,226	19,989,094 17,912,572	187,316,167 89,626,451
1874 {	Receipts	18,000,002 3,186,318	107,273,158 66,088,650	24,625,591 6,671,334	24,936,208 12,555,090	12,295,333	17,676,188 16,739,580	204,806,480 107,635,438
1875 {	Receipts	18,321,063 3,987,959	93,895,082 50,686,401	28,195,330 8,846,515	22,048,569 11,407,489	9,669,296	17,324,137 15,363,184	189,453,477 91,066,475
1876 {	Receipts	22,753,698 6,043,298	95,949,252 55,500,158	35,546,845 22,016,515	35,310,276 24,761,307	9,544,194 2,145,818	19,086,600 18,167,642	218,190,865 128,634,738
1877 {	Receipts	23,215,457 5,974,621	103,313,782 62,418,317	25,727,260 13,473,965	34,590,303 25,842,450	10,025,381 3,101,232	18,825,184 17,346,678	215,697,367 128,157,263
1878 {	Receipts	27,291,781 12,941,359	152,862,170 107,819,044	45,474,650 29,876,327	47,075,240 39,724,954	14,529,304 7,606,427	21,934,170 20,899,187	309,167,315 218,867,298
879	Receipts	32,798,829 15,774,076	163,124,890 124,350,932	47,398,455 32,310,473	66,799,926 55,629,594	14,895,836 7,065,416	23,192,749 22,755,946	348,210,685 257,886,437
1880 {	Receipts	24,821,240 16,243,017	124,879,001	37,253,615	43,974,977	18,311,647	19,137,515	268,377,995

A close examination of the figures in the column for Montreal, will show an increase of receipts in 1879 of 5.74 per cent. over 1878, the latter year indicating 16.51 per cent. over 1877. The increase of shipments in 1879 over 1878 was 17.15 per cent.,—the increase in the latter year over 1877 being 15.61 per cent. Notwithstanding this local increase, the augmented movement along the sea-board shows that there had been a steady relative decrease, for a number of years, until the present season, when there appears, so far, to be a small increase. The table gives the following results:—

				Rece	eipts.	Shipment	8.
In	1870,	Montreal's	proportions	were 9	31 per ce	ent	
	1871,	44	tt.	9.	69 "		,
	1872,	46	и	9.	73 "		
	1873,	66	"	10.	67 "	19.98	per cent.
	1874,	и	44	8.	63 "	15.55	44
	1875,	44	44	9.	14 "	16.87	46
	1876,	"	46	8.	75 "	14.12	"
	1877,	**	46	8.	72 "	13.53	"
	1878,	"	"	7.	09 "	9.54	44
	1879,	"	44	6.	66 "	8.82	" (
•	1880,	"	"	7 -	13 "	9 · 26	46

The figures for 1880, to which an asterisk (*) is prefixed, in the foregoing table, only include the receipts and shipments at the several ports for the first nine months of the year. They are not from official sources; but have been so carefully collated, as to warrant the belief that they afford a fair approximate statement for general comparison. A small increase in the per centages of receipts and shipments is shown. It is believed that had the tenth month's business for all the ports been included in the table on page 11, the per centages for Montreal would have been decreased; because the receipts and shipments during October, at this port, for the past and present years, show the following unfavorable contrast:—

	1879,	1880.	Decrease.
Receipts, bushels	3,976,126	3,321,402	654,724
Shipments, "	3,671,150	3,230,961	440,189

incre rout the (as it w main item

the g past volu

dated certa 1863 on V

" cha " we and t the a pract

" the

and scarce actio Cana

Selki west there find i Law chara entir

INFERENCES FROM THE FOREGOING STATEMENTS.

The current of traffic, say, of grain for Great Britain, appears to flow increasingly eastward without regard (1) to distance, and preferring the longest route; (2) this preference being against the cheaper mode of transport by the Welland Canal and River St. Lawrence; and (3) a fair inference is, (as shown on p. 7,) that railway transport is now much less expensive than it was twenty or thirty years ago. To enable carriers by water, therefore, to maintain a fair relative position, every impediment must be removed, and every item of expense reduced.

The information tabulated in one of the statements (p, 7) shows, that the great reductions in Canal freights and tolls, from time to time, during the past quarter of a century, have not sufficed to increase, or even to maintain the volume of traffic by the water route.

The re-imposition of full rates of toll upon merchandise passing through the Canadian Canals in 1863,—(that is to say, the Order-in-Council dated 19th May, 1860, which provided for a refund of 90 per cent. of the tolls in certain cases, at Port Colborne, was rescinded before the opening of navigation in 1863,)—did not lead, for instance, to an increase in the average rate of freight on Wheat from Chicago to Montreal,—reductions being made pari passu both by the Welland and the Erie.

The opinion is entertained in Toledo, that the "cheapest route from "thence to Liverpool, via Montreal, has additional advantages over the extra "charges in Buffalo and New York for transfer, and is a great protection to "western shippers." When the enlargement of the Welland Canal is finished, and the removal or reduction of all encumbering tolls and charges is accomplished, the advantages referred to may be realized. Meantime, that opinion seems to be practically confined to those who give expression to it,—as may be inferred from the table and remarks on pp. 9, 10.

5th. Whatever means may be adopted with a view to increase the export and import trade of the Dominion via the River St. Lawrence, it would seem scarcely worth while to consider what effect, if any, would be produced by that action upon east-bound freight from the Western States, other than that in which Canadians may have a direct interest.

The railway from Fort William, on Lake Superior, to Winnipeg and Selkirk,—as well as 150 miles of the main line of the Canada Pacific, to the westward of the latter point,-will be completed before Autumn, 1881, and there is no good reason to doubt that the surplus grain-crop of Manitoba will find its way by railway and the lakes, via the Welland Canal and the River St. Lawrence to Montreal,-if not driven away by high rates of freight and other This alone ought to be sufficient incentive to endeavor to lessen or entirely remove all the rates and dues that can be so dealt with.

oregoing the first month's

how an

indicat-

378 was

er cent.

a-board

f years,

. The

t.

ve been roximate tages of centages hipments following

9

REPLIES TO THE INQUIRIES OF THE MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS.

I. AND II.-RATES OF FREIGHT AND CANAL TOLLS.

1st. A Statement showing the comparative cost of transport via the Eric Canal and the St. Lawrence Canals.

2nd. The Tolls charged on both routes.

		go to N Via Buff	EW YORK,		11	o to New ia Oswego			GO TO
YEARS.	No. of days in Canal.	Highest rate Chicago to Buffalo.	Highest rate Buffalo to New York.	Average rate of Freight.	Highest rate Chicago to Oswego.	Highest rate Oswego to New York.	Average rate of Freight.		ner to ston. h rates
		C'ts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	nes e is
1861	81	26	30	27	301	22	27		netir
1862	81	17	$24\frac{1}{2}$	263	221	18	261	26	age a
1863	9	$12\frac{1}{2}$	25	23	17	18	223	16	ade,
1864	10	18	22	28}	24	18	281	181	f tre
1865	10	19	26	$26\frac{3}{4}$	27	18	271	183	P.E
1866	10	23	23	301	30	20	311	181	er
1867	10	15	25	221	181	17	221	171	o the
1868	10	131	24	23	16	17	23	173	34.E
1869	10	12	25	23	161	20	23}	16	ordi
1870	10	10	16	17	15	12	183	16	acc ad so
1871	11	18	17	20}	20	14	211	144	able, n, au
1872	11	18	17	24	20	14	231	211	vari
1873	11	13	13	19	20	9	22	181	5.3
1874	11	61	111	14	111	71	15	121	er to
1875	11	61	11	111	10	91	123	11	nopel
1876	11	5	10	93	71	9	111	10	Rates by Propellers are variable, according to the state of trade,—sometimes higher than by schooner to Kingston, and semetimes lower.—On the average there is probably no difference.
1877	11	6	12	11	10	13	131	10	Sale
1878	10	54	81	9	81	73	13	81	Rad bly
1879								8	igh robs

year
Cana
Mont
route
period
of th
needs
conve
regar
here,
Chics

The fis 20c entitle tariff May, made Canal

throu

to Tr

18

1:

The foregoing table shows the average of the rates of freight in each year since 1861; (1.) from Chicago to New York via Buffalo and Erie Canal; (2.) from Chicago to New York via Oswego; and (3.) from Chicago to Montreal via Kingston. The columns showing highest rates by the U. S. water routes are worthy of examination,—especially during the earlier years of the period; while the average rates by the three routes, has been largely in favor of that via the River St. Lawrence, until within the past few years. It scarcely needs to be stated, however, that, while average rates for periods of years are convenient criteria, they do not always afford sufficient data for conclusions regarding particular seasons. It would be impossible to go into more detail here, however. The average time of the trip of a grain-laden propeller from

The rates of freight averaged in the table on p. 14 include the Canal Tolls,—transfer charges at Kingston being also included in the rates to Montreal. The full toll per ton of 2000 lbs., on Whoat, passing down the Welland Canal, is 20c., or say §c. per bushel of 60 lbs. When this rate is paid, the cargo is entitled to pass free through the St. Lawrence Canals. This has been the tariff rate for more than twenty years,—except when by Order-in-Council, of 19th May, 1860, a refund of 90 per cent., in certain cases, was directed to be made at Port Colborne, and free traffic was provided for on the St. Lawrence Canals. The Order-in-Council was revoked in 1863, as stated on p. 13.

Chicago to Montreal is less than is occupied by a loaded canal boat in passing

The rates by the Erie Canal on a bushel of wheat transported from Buffalo to Troy, in each season of navigation during nineteen years, were as follows:—

	FREIGHT AND TOLLS.		Tolls alone Were,				FREIGHT AND TOLLS.			Tolls aloni were.			
	e.	m.	t.	c.	m.	f.		cf	m.	f.	c.	m.	f
1861	15	7	5	5	1	7	1871	12	6	2	3	1	0
1862	15	8	4	6	2	1	1872	13	1	0	3	1	0
1863	15	3	9	6	2	1	1873	11	5	7	3	1	0
1864	18	7	8	6	2	1	1874	10	1	1	3	1	(
1865	16	8	4	6	2	1	1875	8	0	1	2	0	,
1866	16	9	6	6	2	1	1876	6	7	1	2	0	7
1867	15	6	9	6	2	1	1877	7	3	9	1	0	2
1868	15	6	5	6	2	1	1878	5	9	9	1	0	2
1869	16	3	1	6	2	1	1879			٠.	1	0	8
1870	11	2	2	3	1	0	1880				1	0	,:

ROF

nal and

through the Erie Canal.

O TO
AL, BY
ER TO
TON.

Rates by Propellers are variable, according to the state of trade, sometimes er than by schooner to Kingston, and sometimes lower. --On the average there is

No tolls are levied on any of the following articles when transported on the New York Canals:—

*Pork.	•Wool.	Норв.
*Beef.	*Live Cattle, Hogs, and Sheep	Domestic Spirits.
*Bacon.	Cotton,	Oil Cake,
*Cheese,	Tobacco, unmanufactured.	Bar and Pig Lead.
*Butter.	Hemp.	Domestic Woollens.
*Lard.	Clover and Grass Seed.	" Cottons.
*Tallow.	Flax Seed.	Coffee.

The rate of toll that would be levied on the articles to which an asterisk (*) is prefixed, on passing each way through the Welland Canal, is 20c. per ton; the rate upon the others is 40c. per ton.

III,-HARBOR DUES AND OTHER CHARGES.

3rd. A Statement showing the comparative cost of Harbor Dues in Montreal, New York, Philadelphia, Boston and Baltimore.

It may be stated here, that the Council of the Board of Trade had the questions of Pilotage, Towage, and Harbor Charges, under consideration more than a year ago; and a report was made which contained an approximate comparative statement of charges to a vessel of 600 tons register, drawing 18 feet water, inwards and outwards, as follows:

Риот	AGE. TOWAGE.	WHARFAGE.
Boston \$86	.37 \$60	*****
New York 172	80 100 d	\$6 per day and fee of \$9.
Philadelphia 162	.00 120	\$3 per day.
Baltimore	.00 150	\$1 per day.
Montreal 193	8.50 \$450 @ \$600	\$4.50 per day.

Foreign ships pay a yearly tax of 30c. per ton register in the United States ports.

This general statement was necessarily partial, for the column of "Wharfage" does not include ordinary charges to which all vessels are liable, owing to the difficulty that was experienced in obtaining details. The particulars given on pp. 17 to 23 inclusive, admit of very much fuller and specific comparisons.

the charge trade Cust

Tres Law

there

on the

d.

risk (*) on; the

ew York,

had the on more roximate g 18 feet

es ports.

harfage"
ng to the
given on

As supplementary to the taxes and dues levied at the ports referred to by the MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS the subjoined list shows the various items of charge exacted by the Federal Government upon all vessels engaged in the foreign trade on entering or leaving United States ports,—as provided for by the Customs and Navigation Laws.

U. S. CHARGES ON VESSELS INWARD.

Tonnage duties on Vessels, in the foreign trade, built in the U. S., per ton register	\$,30
Tonnage duties on Foreign Vessels, per ton register	.50
Tonnage tax (besides the duty, in certain cases,) per ton register	.30
Light-money, per ton register	.50
Entry fee at Custom House, when cargo is free goods	2.50
" " when cargo contains dutiable goods	5.50
General Order	.20
Each Jurat	.20
Permits. To land Chronometer, Sails, &c	. 20
Un. ed States Hospital Money. Charged on American Vessels for each employé on board for their time of service since date of last entry of Vessel in a U. S. port,—per	
month	.30
Post Entry	2.00
U. S. Commissioner's fees for paying crew, per man	. 50

U. S. CHARGES ON VESSELS OUTWARD.

U. S. Commissioner's fees for shipping crew, per man	\$2.00
Charge for log-book and papers	3.00
Clearance from Custom House	2.50
Bill of Health	.20
Crew Bond	.40
Crew List certified	.25
Shipping Articles	. 20

A communication recently received from the Assistant-Secretary of the Treasury of the United States, affords the following particulars from the Navigation Laws, on the subject of "Tonnage Duties," which are worth recording here:—

SEC. 4219.---Upon vessels which shall be entered in the United States from any foreign port or place there shall be paid duties as follows:---

- (a.) On vessels built within the United States but belonging wholly or in part to subjects of foreign powers, at the rate of thirty cents per ton.
- (b.) On other vessels not of the United States, at the rate of fifty cents per ton.
- (c.) Upon every vessel not of the United States, which shall be entered in one district from another district, having on board goods, wares, or merchandise taken in one district to be delivered in another district, duties shall be paid at the rate of fifty cents per ton. Nothing in this section shall be deemed in anywise to impair any rights or privileges which have been or may be acquired by any foreign nation under the laws and treaties of the United States relative to the duty of tonnage on vessels.

(d.) On all foreign vessels which shall be entered in the United States from any foreign port or place, to and with which vessels of the United States are not ordinarily permitted to enter and trade, there shall be paid a duty at the rate of two dollars per ton; and none of the duties on tonnage above-mentioned shall be levied on the vessels of any foreign nation if the President of the United States shall be satisfied that the discriminating or countervailing duties of such foreign nations, so far as they operate to the disadvantage of the United States have been abolished.

port

200

500

800 1100

Ovei

- (e.) In addition to the tonnage-duty above imposed, there shall be paid a tax, at the rate of thirty cents per ton, on vessels which shall be entered at any custom-house within the United States from any foreign port or place; and any rights or privileges acquired by any foreign nation under the laws and treaties of the United States relative to the duty of tonnage on vessels shall not be impaired.
- (f.) And any vessel, any officer of which shall not be a citizen of the United States shall pay a tax of fifty cents per ton. (See § 4131.)

SEC. 4220.—No vessel belonging to any citizen of the United States, trading from one port within the United States to another port within the United States, or employed in the bank, whale, or other fisheries, shall be subject to tonnage tax or duty, if such vessel be licensed, registered or enrolled.

SEC. 4221.—In cases of vessels making regular daily trips between any port of the United States and any port in the Dominion of Canada, wholly upon interior waters not navigable to the ocean, no tonnage or clearance fees shall be charged against such vessel by the officers of the United States, except upon the first clearing of such vessel in each year.

Sac. 2793.—Enrolled or licensed vessels engaged in the foreign and coasting trade on the northern, northeastern and northwestern frontiers of the United States, departing from or arriving at a port in one district to or from a port in another district, and also touching at intermediate foreign ports shall not thereby become liable to the payment of entry and clearance fees, or tonnage tax, as if from or to foreign ports: but such vessels shall, notwithstanding, be required to enter and clear.

SRC. 4223.—The tonnage duty imposed on all vessels engaged in foreign commerce shall be levied but once within one year, and, when paid by such vessel, no further tonnage tax shall be collected within one year from the date of such payment. But this provision shall not extend to foreign vessels entered in the United States from any foreign port, to and with which vessels of the United States are not ordinarily permitted to enter and trade.

SEC. 4224.—Vessels within pay tonnage duties once in a year, shall pay the same either at their first clearance from or entry at, according to priority, a custom-house in the United States in each calendar year. Nothing in this section shall be construed to prevent customs officers from collecting such tonnage duty at the entry of vessels at their respective custom-houses during the calendar year if the same has not previously been paid for such year.

SEC. 4225.—A duty of fifty cents per ton, to be denominated "light-money," shall be levied and collected on all vessels not of the United States, which may enter the ports of the United States. Such light-money shall be levied and collected in the same manner and under the same regulations as the tonnage duties.

SEC, 4226.—The preceding section shall not be deemed to operate upon unregistered vessels, owned by citizens of the United States, and carrying a sea-letter, or other regular document, issued from a custom-house of the United States, proving the vessel to be American property.

Vessels entering from a foreign port or place.—I. All merchant-vessels entered in the United States from any foreign port or place, are subject to the payment of tonnage duty. They may be divided into two principal classes, namely:—Vessels of the United States, and vessels not of the United States. Vessels of the United States are those documented according to law. They pay 30 cents per ton under paragraph e, § 4219, except those any of whose officers are not citizens of the United States, which pay 50 cents per ton under paragraph f. The officers of a vessel are, under the rulings of the Department, the master and mates, and, in addition, the engineers and pilots, if a steam-vessel.

II.—Vessels not of the United States may be divided, in relation to the rates of tonnage duty, into five

- z. Vessels built in the United States, but belonging wholly or in part to subjects of foreign powers.
- 2. Vessels not built in the United States, and belonging wholly or in part to subjects of foreign powers.
- 3. Vessels wherever built, owned in whole or in part by subjects of foreign powers, which enter from a foreign place where vessels of the United States are not ordinarily permitted to enter and trade.
- 4. Vessels not built in the United States, but belonging to citizens of the United States, and provided only with a sea-letter, or other custom-house document proving the vessel to be American property.
 - 5. Vesssels without documents.

III.—Vessels of class 1 pay 30 cents per ton under paragraph a, § 4219, 30 cents per ton additional under paragraph e, and 50 cents per ton "light-money" under § 4225, making \$1.10 in all.

Vessels of class 2 pay 50 cents per ton under paragraph b, 30 cents per ton additional under paragraph e, and 50 cents per ton "light-money" under \S 4225, making \S 1.30 in all.

Vessels of class 3 pay \$2 per ton under paragraph d, 30 cents per ton additional under paragraph e, and 50 cents per ton "light-money" under \$ 4225, making \$2.80 in all.

Vessels of class 4 pay 50 cents per ton under paragraph δ , and 30 cents per ton additional under paragraph s, making 80 cents per ton; and if the owner or master refuses to take the oath required by § 4226, 50 cents per ton "light-money" under § 4225 must be paid, making \$1.30 per ton.

Vessels of class 5 pay the same as vessels of class 1 or 2, accordingly as they are vessels built in the United States or not. The collector must satisfy himself, by evidence presented, that the vessel was built in the United States, before admitting her to payment under class 1 at \$1. To per ton. (No importations can be permitted in vessels of class 5. See \$ 2597, Rev. Stat.)

or place, to and trade, on tonnage dent of the uch foreign olished.

hirty cents states from tion under thall not be

tax of fifty within the r fisheries,

States and tonnage or on the first northern,

by become ; but such levied but in one year

one district

the United ermitted to their first endar year. duty at the

ously been ad collected ight-money

owned by stom-house

ited States d into two ssels of the n e, § 4219, ton under tes, and, in

y, into five

wers. n powers. iter from a ovided only

onal under

aragraph e,

raph e, and

r paragraph 30 cents per

built in the built in the ions can be

1.-Port of Boston.

The following are the rates and dues levied on sea-going vessels at this port:—

Customs Entry-	-100 tons a	nd over	, dutiable	cargo	 \$	5.50
	66	46	free carg	go	 • • •	3.17
Custom Clearar	ce-under	license			 	0.50
	under :	register			 	1.50
	foreign	vessel	coastwise		 	2.00
L.		66	foreign		 	3,30

With reference to the foregoing particulars from local sources, see the list of U.S. Government charges upon foreign vessels, as well as upon American vessels in the foreign trade, on pages 17, 18.

Wharfage, Dockage—Vessels discharging cargo, or loading grain at elevators, or other cargoes at the wharves, are free from wharfage.

Steamers, 1c. per day per ton register.

Sailing vessels over 200 tons register ½c. per ton per day.

Lay-Days free from Dockage as follows:-

Loading.	Discharging.					
200 to 500 tons	200 to 500 tons 7 days.					
500 to 800 "25 "	500 to 800 " "					
800 to 1100 "35 "	800 to 1100 "15 "					
1100 to 1500 "	1100 to 1500 "20 "					
Over 1500 "45 "	Over 1500 "25 "					

Note—The foregoing information is from an "Index to the Port of Boston." Some explanations and further information have been received from a reliable source as follows:—

Wharfage.—No charge for wharfage is made to the vessel;—the shipper or receiver pays it. When a vessel goes to a railroad dock to discharge, the wharfage is free on that portion of the cargo which goes over the road;—and the same rule applies to a vessel loading at a railroad dock; that portion of her cargo which comes over the road is free from wharfage. In all cases where cargo is received from, or delivered to lighter or other vessel over side, while the vessel is at a wharf, the goods so received or delivered are subject to half-wharfage.

Particular Rates.—When the goods do not come over a railroad, to its dock where the vessel is loading, the charges on principal articles are as follows:—

Flour per brl4c.	Butter, per tub 1c.
" per sack2c.	Hay, per ton
Cheese, per box1c.	Cattle Feed per bag 2c.
Lard, per tierce7c.	Cattle, per head15c.
Bacon, per box 61c.	Sheep or Hogs, per head 4c.

N. B.—Cattle, sheep, and Live Stock, are invariably subject to wharfage, whether coming over the railway or not.

Grain-Transfer and other Charges.

Grain in bulk, delivered by floating elevator, ac. to 11c. per bushel.
" loading and trimming, per 1000 bush \$1.50 to \$2.
Hire of bags (to be returned to port,) each
Stowing and sewing bags, each
Ceiling (Lining) for cargo, 14c. per bush.;—should serve
for 3, 4, or 5 voyages.
Surveyor's Fee\$10.00

2.—PORT OF NEW YORK.

The	following	rates	hae	Parch	970	naid	hv	all	sea-going	vessels	
Tue	TOHOWING	rates	nua	uues	are	paru	IJΥ	wii	sea-going	Vessels	_

Quarantine Dues\$6.5	0
Hospital Dues-for Captain	0
for each Mate 1.0	0
for each Seaman 5	0
Health Officer 6.5	0

With reference to the foregoing particulars from local sources, see the list of U.S. Government charges upon foreign vessels, as well as upon American vessels in the foreign trade, on pages 17, 18.

Wharfage—All vessels of 200 tons and under, per ton.... 2c. per day.

All vessels over 200 tons, 2c. per day for each of the first

200 tons; and for each additional ton ½c. per day.

The owner, or lessee of a wharf may charge 5c. per ton per day, for all merchandise left on his wharf, after 24 hours have elapsed from the time of being landed or left there.

Harbor Master's Fee, from \$3 to \$24, according to size of vessel,—the legal charge being 1½c. per ton.

Ballast—discharging	35c. per to	n.
Loading, stone, f. o. b	60c. "	
" shingle, f. o. b	65c. "	
" earth, f. o. b	50c. "	

Grain-Transfer and other Charges.

Elevating—into single-deck vessels, including trimming, p. 1000 bu.	\$7.00
into double-deck vessels, including trimming, p. 1000 bu.	8.00
Delivering in bags on ocean vessels, per 1000 bushels	6.25
" coastwise vessels including trimming	2.50
Loading bags, per 1000 bushels	5.00
Hire of bags (to be returned to port,) per 100 bags	
Ceiling (Lining) for Grain in bulk, per 100 bushels	

3.—PORT OF PHILADELPHIA.

The charges paid by all sea-going vessels are as follows:-

Entrance Fee\$2.50	Clearance Fee\$2.50
Harbor Master 2.00	Bill of Health
Surveyor's Fee 3.00	Certified Manifest
Manifest Stamp\$1 to \$2	

With reference to the foregoing particulars from local sources, see the list of U.S. Government charges upon foreign vessels, as well as upon American vessels in the foreign trade, on pages 17, 18.

Wharfage Dues on a Ship......\$4.00 per day. on a Barque, Brig, or Schooner 3.00 While, in a general way, these rates are correct, the following items are more specific :- Charges at the city wharves, from \$2 to \$5 per day; at the oil piers, \$3.50 per day for vessels under 300 tons; \$4.50 on vessels between 300 and 500 tons; \$5.50 on vessels between 500 and 800 tons; \$6.50 on vessels between 800 and 1000 tons; and \$7 for vessels of over 1000 tons. Rates at grainloading wharves do not exceed \$2 per day,-at some wharves Spanish, Portuguese, Italian, Russian, and South American Ships pay a sum of \$2.50 in addition to charges for Pilotage. Stone ballast-\$1,00 to \$1.50 per ton. Grain-Transfer and other Charges. Loading Grain in bulk, per 1000 bushels \$2.40 5.60 44 in bags, Hire of bags, (to be returned to port,) per 100 bags 3.00 Ceiling (Lining) for bulk grain, \(\frac{3}{4}c. \) to 1c. per bushel. 10.00 Surveyor's Fee 4.—PORT OF BALTIMORE. The following rates are levied on all sea-going vessels:-Vessels with dutiable cargo pay as follows:-Customs Entry......\$2.50 Survey..... 3.00 Vessels with duty-free cargo pay :--Customs Entry \$2.50 Survey.... With reference to the foregoing particulars from local sources, see the list of U.S. Government charges upon foreign vessels, as well as upon American vessels in the foreign trade, on pages 17, 18, Quarantine and Doctor's fees-1c. per ton register. Wharfage per day: -- Vessels of less than 400 tons.....\$1.25 400 to 600 tons..... 1.50 600 to 800 tons..... 1.75 800 tons and upwards.. 2.00 These rates apply to wharves owned or leased by individuals, where cargoes of all descriptions are landed and shipped. At wharves owned by the City or State, the rate is 1c. per ton register per day; at the grain-elevator, \$1.50 per day for vessels up to 500 tons register, and \$2 if larger; at oil-wharves, \$3.50 per day on all vessels irrespective of size. Ballast:—Discharging, per ton,...... 20c. to 30c.

Loading and cost of earth or stone, per ton 50c. to 70c.

Tovernment n trade, on

0

Jovernment

n trade, on

n

0

Grain-Transfer and other Charges.

Elevating bulk grain, per 100 bushels	38c.
Trimming " per 1000 bushels	\$1.50
Stowing bags, per 1000 bushels	.05
Bag-hire (bags to be returned to port,) per 100	3.00
Sewing bags, per 100	.50
Ceiling (Lining) for Grain in bulk, 1½c. per bushel; the same lining, with slight repairs, should serve for 4 or 5 voyages.	
Surveyor's Fee	10.00

5.—PORT OF MONTREAL.

The following dues and charges are levied on all sea-going craft:-

The Harbor Commissioners are authorized by an Order-in-Council, dated 19th April, 1880 to levy rates upon "all merchandise, animals, and things whatsoever, landed or shipped in the harbor." The printed tariff contains an enumeration of nearly 300 items, to which specified rates are attached. The charges on two-thirds of these are at the rate of 20c. to 50c. per ton, viz.:—on 13 items, 20c. per ton; on 38 items, 25c. per ton; on 80 items, 30c. per ton; on 28 items, 40c. per ton; and on 19 items, 50c. per ton.

Wheat, Maize, Peas, Barley, Malt, are charged 25c. per 100 bushels; and Oats 15c. per 100 bushels.

It is also provided:—"On all goods, wares, and merchandise whatsoever, "the quantity of which by weight, measurement, or other mode "of estimate provided for in the tariff, cannot be conveniently "ascertained, it shall be lawful for the Harbor Commissioners to "levy a rate of \(\frac{1}{4} \) of 1 per cent, on the value thereof."

Grain-Transfer and other Charges, 1880.

The Harbor Commissioners levy the following rates (as above-noted):-

Trinity Dues—5 p.ct. on all Pilotage—is a charge deducted from the pilotage accounts, and is understood to go into the Fund for Decayed Pilots.

Transfer rates by floating elevators are :
Elevating, (one-half of which is payable by the receiving vessel) per bush
Filling and sewing Grain-bags, each bag 3c. to 1c.
Hire of Grain-bags, including filling and sewing (to be returned to port,) per bag
Through cargoes of grain by railway from the West for shipment at Montreal for Europe, are transferred from the cars, floated to vessel in harbor, and put f. o. b., for a charge of 1\frac{3}{4}c. per bu. for all local expenses, which charge is usually included in the through-freight rate.—Free storage for a term of 10 days is also granted when required.
Storage of Grain cargoes—1c. per bu. for first five days.
\(\frac{1}{2} \) c. per bu. for each of next three terms of five days each respectively.
ic. per bu, for each succeeding term of 10 days.
Winter rate for the season (Nov. 1 to May 15) 2½ c. per bu.
Charges for Lining (Ceiling) for Grain-cargoes:—
Wooden Ships, per register ton,45 to 60c.
Iron Ships, which are not lined higher than the turn of the bilge, per ton
The same lining, with occasional slight repairs, should serve for three or four voyages.
Steamers with water-ballast tanks, when tank covers are clear, dry and caulked, require no lining
Port Warden's Fee\$4 to \$6
Special Rates and Charges, 1880.
Harbor Towages—For one tug \$5 @ \$10
For two tugs \$20
Ballast—Wharfage on 10c. per ton
Carting away 20c. "
Laid down alongside, when required 50c. "
Tallyman, when required, \$2.00 per day of 10 hours.
Watchman, " \$2.00 for 12 hours.
Customs Officer—overtime when discharging, \$2.50 per night.
Shipping-Master's Fee for British Vessels, for each man shipped or discharged 50 cents.
Noting Protest, \$1.00;—Extending Protest, when required, \$5.00.
Stevedore's charges for discharging inwards, and loading outwards cargoes, are from 16c. to 20c. per ton, for both weight and measurement.

ilotage

IV.-REPEAL AND REDUCTION OF HARBOR DUES.

4th. What reduction in Dues your Board would recommend, either as to Tonnage Dues on Vessels, or Wharfage rutes on Goods, in order to successfully compete with the Ports above-mentioned?

1. Through rates of freight for merchandise, for instance, from Great Britain to Toronto and other points in Ontario, are practically the same, whether the ocean carriage terminates at New York, Boston, or Montreal. There are no wharfage rates imposed at either of the two former ports, on merchandise in transit for inland points either in the U.S. or Canada. Wharfage rates at Montreal, however, are a considerable item. Taking the keenness of competition in every department of mercantile life into account, it is thought that an effectual remedy would be, to have all these rates promptly repealed, for they weigh heavily on the foreign commerce of the Dominion, and send freight past Canadian ships and steamers into round-about foreign channels, to find cheaper access to cities and towns in Ontario.

2. The following statement shows the operation of the tariff which the Montreal Harbor Commissioners were, in April last, authorized to enforce (see page 22;) and it demonstrates the necessity for reducing the dues:—

		1878	1879	1880 To 1st October
Sea-going traffic:				
Wharfage dues on	Imports	\$59,216	\$84,207	\$91,200
do.	Exports		67,644	57,500
do.	Steamships	103,046	41,975	54,800
do.	Sailing Vessels)		(16,442	S4,000
		\$162,262	\$210,268	\$203,500
Local traffic:				
Wharfage dues on	Goods	\$8,190	\$6,648)
do.	Barges	18,497	17,625	\$30,514
do,	Steamboats, &c	25,473	22,891)
		\$52,160	\$47,164	\$30,514
		162,262	210,268	203,500
Yearly Tot	als	\$214,422	\$257,432	\$234,014

The harbor revenue in 1879 amounted to \$269,596;—the dues levied on a morried and exported merchandise, appear to have been 56.52 per cent. of that are one. If the dues on the steamships and sailing vessels carrying the goods were added, the burden imposed would be about 66 per cent. The effect would simply be, the addition of about \$180,000 to the cost of the property carried to

and Bost

and account

> traff work work year \$840 was

> > and

per

from vess and for

5th

Bo

age Dues

age Dues

S.

m Great
whether
e are no
ndise in
Iontreal,
in every
remedy
avily on

nich the rce (see

an ships

to cities

1880 t October.

91,200 57,500

54,800

03,500

30,514

30,514 3,500

4,014

ied on
of that
goods
would
ried to

and from the port of Montreal,— which would have been saved in the ports of Boston, New York, Philadelphia and Baltimore.

3. The subjoined statement shows how wharfage charges affect steamships and sailing vessels in the several Atlantic Ports, as compared with Montreal, according to the rates cited on pages 19, 20, 21 and 22,—the example being that of a 1,500-ton vessel:—

			Ste	eamships.	Sailing Vessels.
*	Boston	per day	 \$	15.00	\$ 7.50
	New York	do.	 	10.50	10.50
			 	4.00	4.00
t	Baltimore,	do.	 	2.00	2.00
	Montreal	do.	 	22.50	11.25

- 4. It is understood that, during the past ten years, the revenue from foreign traffic averaged 74·37 per cent. of the total revenue,—the expenditure on harborworks in ten years being about \$80,000 less than was spent in seven years upon works in the river. The amount paid as interest on the Government loan in four years was not much under \$200,000, and on the Harbor debt in ten years \$840,000. The revenue, in ten years, from wharfage dues on sea-going vessels was \$1,738,600;—if the Harbor Trust were immediately relieved by Government, and by the City of Montreal, from debt obligations, an immense reduction (say 75 per cent.) in wharfage dues might signalize the opening of navigation in 1881.
- 5. It will be observed that this suggested reduction does not affect revenue from local sources; such would very likely be increased by the influx of sea-going vessels. In that case, the Harbor Trust could give attention to other reductions and economies, which would soon make Montreal the cheapest port in the world for the sea-going craft of all nations.

V.-RATES OF PILOTAGE.

5th. The Comparative cost of Pilotage at all the above mentioned Ports, and what remedy your Board would propose in order to reduce the cost of this service below Quebec, as also from Quebec to Montreal.

1.—Port of Boston.

The rates for piloting a 600-ton sailing vessel, drawing 18 feet water, from Boston Light (distance 9 miles from Boston proper) are:—

Inward, \$3.80 Outward, \$2.75	per foot	draft,	• • • •	•••	• • • •	• • • • • •	 \$68.40 49.50
							\$117.90

^{*} For some exceptions to the rule in Boston harbor, see page 19, under the word "Wharfage."

[†] For exceptions, see paragraph near the foot of page 21.

Pilotage is practically compulsory, both for steam and sail vessels;—they generally lay-to and wait for a Pilot, rather than incur risk without one. If a vessel is boarded 15, 20 or even 25 miles outside of Boston Light, in summer, (say April to November,) the Pilot is entitled to no more than if he had been taken within 100 yards of it. In winter he is entitled to distance-money, but must go on board four miles beyond Minot's Light, which is 9 miles further out than Boston Light,—the total distance being 22 miles. The distance-money for 18 feet draft would be \$13.68, making the winter rate \$131.58.

All U.S. vessels, regardless of size, are exempted from the necessity to engage a Pilot when under coasting license. Vessels under 200 tons register, when sailing under a register, may decline the services of a Pilot, and pay half pilotage.

2.—PORT OF NEW YORK.

The charges for piloting a 600-ton sailing vessel drawing 18 feet water from Sandy-Hook to New York (a distance of 21 miles) are:—

Inward, \$5.50	per foot	draft,	 	 \$99.00
Outward, \$4.10	66	18	 	 73.80
				\$172.80

The distance from the Battery, (the southern point of the city,) to the bar, and over it, at Sandy-Hook, is 21 miles. When the wind is fair, Pilots usually leave the vessel when well over the bar; if the wind is ahead, they take the vessel to the light-ship, which is 6 miles farther.

The sum of \$4, is added to the rates inward and outward respectively, between 1st November and 1st April.

Pilotage is compulsory for all foreign vessels; but the Act regulating the service provides that "no master of a vessel belonging to a citizen of the United States, and "licensed and employed in the carrying trade by way of Sandy-Hook, shall be required "to employ a licensed Pilot."

3.—PORT OF PHILADELPHIA.

The cost of pilotage from Philadelphia to the Capes of the Delaware (103 miles) for a 600-ton sailing vessel drawing 18 feet water, would be:—

Inward,	\$4.50 per	foot	draft	 	 			 			• •	٠.		\$81.00
Outward,	same	rate,		 	 	٠.	4	 		٠.	٠.			81.00
														\$162.00

Pilotage is compulsory for all vessels arriving from, or bound to, a foreign port.

Spanish, Portuguese, Italian, Russian, and South American ships have to pay \$2.50 in addition to the usual cost of pilotage.

600-t

inside

towe

Monsall h

Gulf muc tofor

ing com generally boarded ovember,)

of it. In d Minot's being 22 he winter

engage a g under a

ter from

and over

0

tween 1st

nip, which

he service tates, and required

7are (103

port.
pay \$2.50

4.-PORT OF BALTIMOBE.

The pilotage distance to Cape Henry is 177 miles. The charges to a 600-ton sailing vessel, drawing 18 feet water, are:—

Inward, \$5	per foot draft,	 • • • • • • • • • • •	\$90.00
			90.00
•			
			\$180.00

If a vessel is hailed outside the limit at the Cape, she must take a Pilot; but if inside on being hailed, it is optional with the master to do so or not.

Coasting vessels pay a yearly tax of 6c. per ton, and are exempt from pilotage.

5.—PORT OF MONTREAL.

The pilotage charges to a 600-ton sailing vessel, drawing 18 feet water, when towed, are as follows:—

Father Point to Quebec, 161 miles,—\$3.60 per foot\$64. Quebec to Father Point,—\$3.15 per foot, 56.	70
	\$121.50
Quebec to Montreal, 150 miles, \$2 per foot, \$36.	
Montreal to Quebec, same rate 36.	00
	72.00
	\$193.50

It should be stated, that, from 10th to 19th of November, the rates from Father Point or Bic to Quebec and return, are \$4.60 and \$4.15 per foot draft respectively. The pilotage of a vessel of 18 feet draft, towards the close of the season, would therefore be \$229.50

It appears from the foregoing pilotage statements, that the disadvantage to Montreal, is very much more one of distance than expense. The rates are all higher to other ports than to Montreal; and the totals for the summer season compare as follows:—

		Total Mileage.	Pilotage Charges.
To and from	Boston	18	\$117.90
46	New York,	42	172.80
"	Philadelphia	206	162.00
"	Baltimore	354	180.00
u	Montreal	622	193.50

1. It is suggested that when the system of telegraphic communication in the Gulf and River St. Lawrence is completed, pilotage arrangements may be very much simplified, and the services of Pilots be made more available than heretofore, and their numbers largely reduced. This may be effected by the establishing of a station or stations, with which the masters of in-coming vessels could communicate by use of the electro-signal service.

- 2. The Pilot-service ought to be an open one,—to which all competent men should be admitted, and each Pilot allowed to receive and hold all his own earnings.
- 3. No deep-sea pilotage charges should be exacted from any vessel, upward or downward, when in tow of a tug, the Master of which is a duly licensed Pilot of the first-class.

Cap

Isla vess

bein

exa

the

ser

hov

list

the the tor do

the

to

8

be

sm

4. Rates of pilotage should be reduced, and all inefficient or incapable men removed from the Pilot rolls.

VI,-THE TOWAGE QUESTION.

6th. What remedy your Board would propose to lessen the cost of Towage of Sailing Vessels from Father Point to Quebec and from Quebec to Montreal.

Although rates of towage at other ocean-ports are not asked for by the MINISTER OF PUBLIC WORKS, it has been considered worth while, as far as practicable, to make a comparison on a basis similar to that on which the information about Pilotage has been given.

1.—PORT OF BOSTON.

The rates for towing a 600-ton vessel, drawing 18 feet water, from Boston Light to dock, and vice versa, are:—

Inward, . Outward,																				
Outward,	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	۰	٠	۰	9	•	*	•	•	٠	۰	۰	•	30,00
																				\$70.00

If a vessel takes a tug outside of Boston Light, inwards,—or is towed outward beyond that Light,—the additional service is a matter of agreement between the vessel and the tug.

2.—PORT OF NEW YORK.

A 600-ton vessel may be towed at the following rates:-

Inward, Outward,											
											\$70.00

Masters of vessels make bargains with tug-boats to tow in accordance with the necessities of the case. Sometimes, wind and tide favoring, the tug leaves the vessel at the Narrows, which is 6 miles from the Battery;—with wind and tide unfavorable, the tug will go 15 to 20 miles.

"There are no legal rates established for towing, the charge depending on distance, state of weather, and size of vessel. In favorable weather, a vessel of 300 or 400 tons can be towed in for \$30; 600 tons, \$35; 1000 tons, \$45, and if the vessel knows where she is to be docked, this is included. If the vessel is, for any reason, obliged to use steam to come in, much higher rates are required. Outward towage is governed by the same rules."

tent men his own

l, upward ased Pilot

able men

of Sailing

for by the as far as which the

m Boston

ed outward

e with the he vessel at vorable, the

on distance, or 400 tons essel knows bliged to use ned by the

3.—PORT OF PHILADELPHIA.

The charges for towing a 600-ton vessel, drawing 18 feet water, from the Capes of the Delaware to the city, a distance of 103 miles, would be:—

Inward, 50c. per mile...... \$51.50 Outward, 75c. per mile...... 77.25 \$128.75

Summer rates are by agreement. Inward-bound craft frequently sail up to Reedy Island, which is 46 miles from the city, and there take steam. It is not usual for outward vessels to tow below Reedy Island. In that case the towage would only cost \$57.56.

The tug-boats on the Delaware River are said to be the most powerful in the world, being each of about 2,500 horse power.

4.—PORT OF BALTIMORE.

Towage charges on a 600-ton vessel, 18 feet draft of water, from Cape Henry to Baltimore, 177 miles, would be:—

Inward, \$142.00 Outward, 142.00 \$284.00

5.—PORT OF MONTREAL.

It would be misleading to quote rates of towage here, as in the foregoing examples; for the simple reason that though tow-boat officials sometimes refer to the "regular tariff," there is practically no recognized tariff of rates for tug-boat service in the Gulf and River St. Lawrence. There seems to have been one, however, bearing date 1874; because the Canada Shipping Company framed a list of charges for season 1880, for the services, when required, of their tug "Lake," the terms being mentioned as 50 per cent. less than those of 1874. According to that reduction a 600-ton vessel, drawing 18 feet water, would be charged 46c. per ton for first-class service, from Quebec to Montreal, or \$276 for the trip, (the downward rates by both tariffs being 25 per cent. less,) while the charge under the regime of 1874 would presumably be \$552. But there was in 1876, a towage tariff issued by "Opposition Tow-Boats," according to which the rate for a vessel as above, was 91c. per ton, or \$546 up from Quebec. The difference between the supposed-to-be regular tariff of 1874, and the one of 1876, was so small as to lead to the belief that the opposition was of a very nominal kind, -serving only to mislead unsuspecting ship-masters. The above-mentioned Company's rate from Father Point to Quebec is \$175, or \$306.25 both ways.

6.-How the Towage Business is Worked.

\$1,3 St. I

any

than

500

paid

in 1

amo

and

that not

was

trad

they

own

tow

ship

cred

subj

Tru

than

pur

oper to k

tow ber at 1

mig

The towing-service in the River and Gulf of St. Lawrence has been characterized as inefficient,—it being alleged that there are steamers of one kind and another engaged in it, that were not originally intended for that sort of work, and which, as might be expected, are poorly adapted for it. The tariff rates charged, too, are exorbitantly high, the mode of exacting them is arbitrary and irregular, often oppressive—it seeming to be the settled belief of tow-boat organizations, that the commerce of Canada's Great Water-Highway must afford them revenue.*

A number of detailed lists have been obtained of vessels,—showing tonnage, draft, rates of charge, &c., &c.,—towed for varying distances between Bic and Quebec up to Montreal, and return, in the seasons of navigation 1878, 1879 and 1880;—and they indicate how inconsistent and inequitable are the rates levied. It would unnecessarily swell this answer, to give the lists here-referred-to in full; but the following brief résumé of some of them may be sufficiently explicit.

Season of Navigation, 1878.—Out of one list of 24 vessels towed up to Montreal and back to Quebec, the sum exacted in four instances was \$550, the tonnages being respectively 803, 349, 690, and 349. Four vessels paid \$500 each, the respective tonnages being 744, 699, 739, and 388. Four vessels paid \$300 each, the respective tonnages being 633, 398, 490, and 288. A vessel of 633 tons paid \$285, and one of 414 tons paid \$212.

Season of Navigation, 1879.—Out of one list of 14 vessels, one of 510 tons paid \$811.45;—one of 813 tons paid \$500;—\$450 was paid for one of 729 tons;—\$440 for 830 tons;—\$425 for 628 tons, and \$195 for 521 tons.

Season of Navigation, 1880.—Out of 14 vessels, the amount exacted in two instances was \$500 each, the tonnages respectively being 871 and 872. The sum of \$450 was paid for 787 tons;—\$400 each for 729, 364, and 354;—\$350 for 769;—\$330 for 396;—\$250 for 237;—\$230 for 147;—and \$225 for 249 tons.

Further for 1880.—A barque of 1000 tons paid \$600 for towage from below Quebec to Montreal and return; while a ship of 1135 tons had to pay

A well-informed person at Quebec, writes somewhat roughly, under date 20th
 September, as follows:—

[&]quot;The Tariff made by the Beaver Line, is just one-half of that for 1876, but we don't even get that for four-fifths of the vessels. There have been seven vessels taken up "this year, that have paid full tariff; but that was caused by the ignorance of the Masters, "and the unblushing lying of Agents, which some of the Tug-owners keep for the purpose. Several of us have given orders not to take Montreal vessels at the low rates "current,—but to give Quebec vessels a preference in all cases. The detention of Pilots "up and down, expenses in current, and moorages in harbor, have rendered the business, "at current rates, a losing one."

en charackind and t of work, cariff rates itrary and pat organifford them

g tonnage, n Bic and , 1879 and tes levied. to in full; plicit.

wed up to \$550, the \$500 each, paid \$300 ssel of 633

one of 510 for one of tons.

exacted in 872. The 54;—\$350 25 for 249

wage from and to pay

r date 20th

376, but we els taken up the Masters, for the pure low rates on of Pilots ne business, \$1,325. In the latter instance, the Master was on his first trip to the River St. Lawrence; and he imagined the matter was all right when, without stating any sum, the official on the tug said he would be towed up for 20 per cent. less than the tariff rate! A barque of 770 tons was charged \$250; while another of 500 tons had to pay \$810 for like service. A brigantine of 508 tons recently paid \$260 from below Quebec to Montreal and return; the same Agents having, in 1879, a barque of 510 tons which had to pay more than three times that amount for similar service. A tug offered to take a vessel up to Montreal and back to Quebec for \$450,—to which the Captain assented, on condition that if his Agent at the latter port had made any arrangement, he should not be required to pay more than the Agent had bargained for. On arrival it was found that an agreement had been made for \$300.

The vessels which suffer most are those which come into the St. Lawrence trade for the first time; and their experience is often so hard and cheerless that they never return. The greatest perplexity and annoyance experienced by owners of tonnage in Europe are believed to arise mainly from the uncertainty of towage expenses; and there can hardly be a doubt that this keeps away many a ship from Montreal, giving color to the exaggerated reports which have gained credence respecting exorbitant charges of every kind to which all vessels are subjected.

7.—Suggestions towards a Remedy.

- 1. Rates of towage should be reduced to a minimum, and the Harbor Trust of Montreal might be empowered to provide towage facilities, at not more than cost of service.
- 2. Or, a Company with suitable vessels, might be subsidised for the purpose of procuring strict adherence to rates under cost to vessels.
- 3. Or, it might be worth considering, whether the service should be left open to competition by all tow-boats that may be *licensed* as to their fitness, and to be governed by a uniform tariff of rates, which shall be maxima. Vessels towed could be left, during the busy season, in Hochelaga Bay, until there is berth-room,—and then brought up by the chain-tug, and docked by a harbor-tug, at fixed rates, which should be deducted from the tow-boats' accounts.
 - 4. The Captain of all tug-boats should be licensed Pilots.
- 5. For the prevention of any possible over-charge, all rates for towage might be made payable at the Office of the Harbor Trust.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

RATES OF OCEAN FREIGHT.

There is a current theory that the larger the vessel the less the cost of transport. As regards the Upper Lake Trade, the President of the Buffalo Board of Trade has put the case thus:—

"At the same rates a vessel carrying 60,000 Bushels of corn makes a profit of \$740 on the round trip from Chicago to Buffalo and return, where a vessel carrying 21,000 bushels gains but \$83.30, the rates in this case being 2 cents per bushel for corn and \$1.00 per ton for coal (carried on the return trip), giving to each vessel the same proportionate return cargo. Calling the rate 4 cents per bushel for corn and \$1.00 per ton for coal, the smaller vessel would gain \$743.50 while the larger one would show \$2,540 on the profit side of the ledger."

Writing to the Secretary, under date 2nd November, 1874, the late Hon. John Young, then Chairman of the Montreal Harbor Commission, said:—

"The effect on the cost of outward freight, by the deepening of the channel to 20 feet, and employing the large ship, has been to reduce freight 33½ per cent., compared with the rates current previous to the improvement of the channel.......The Harbor Commissioners believe that the cost of freight will thus be diminished, and, as a consequence, that the value of what is exported will be increased to the producer, and imports cheapened to the consumer."

Only a day or two before Hon. Mr. Young's decease, he requested that a statement of ocean freight-rates at Montreal should be prepared,—going as far back as the record of the Corn Exchange Association would admit of,—he being of opinion that the quotations would show a continuance of the reduction.

Since then a good deal of labor and care have been expended in arranging a table of average rates of Ocean freight for heavy Grain to Liverpool, by steamships and sailing vessels, for each month and for each year from 1861 to 1879 inclusive,—see next page.

he cost of ne Buffalo ofit of \$740 ing 21,000 r corn and same pro-.00 per ton how \$2,540 the late mmission, nnel to 20 , compared the Harbor as a consend imports ed that a ing as far -he being

on.

arranging erpool, by 1861 to AVERAGE RATES OF FREIGHT FOR HEAVY GRAIN PAR 480 LBS. FROM MONTREAL TO LIVERPOOL.

V		MAY.	Υ.		JUNE.	H.		J.	July.		7	AUGUST	ST.		SEPT	SEPTEMBER		Ŏ	Остовкв.	EB.		November	MBER.		AVERAGE for year.	RAG
	Sail		Steam	1	Sail.	Steam	g	Sail	30	Steam.	Sail.		Steam.	-	Sail.	Steam	اغا	Sail.		Steam.	SQ.	Sail.	Steam.		Sail.	Steam
		7	s d	60		00	ď	8. d.	46	d.	90	9	s. o.	**	ď.	%	ď,	50	.e.	d.	00	d.	8. d.		s. d.	**
1861	00	m		-	9		:		-ic			-10:		:		:	:			:	6	9			01 2	:
1862	6	0 1	0 0	79	§0	10	0	7 11	6	8	6	9	9 5	6	0.4	10	2	6	9 11	1	6	6	12 5	2	20	10
1863	9	12	7 11	9	33	00	0	6 2	23 7	10	9		6 74	9	63	5 1	103	3 10	103 5	67	က	113	9	4	5 73	9
1864	2	6	6 3	9	0	9	97	5 1	10	ıC	10	8	5 7	10	9	5 1		4	1	0	:	:	:		5 33	70
1865	63	6	3 9	3	6	ಣ	6		4	113	:	:	4 6	:	:	2	0	4	20	3 1	4	1:1	9	5	03	4
1866	:		1 0	10	23	9	8	4 9	2	0	4	7	4 43	4	2	+	0		. 5	10	10	0	7 11	4	80	10
1867	5	-	9 9	4	7	9	9	4 83	9	763	:	:	5 3½		:	9	00	7 1	6 0	4	00	6	11 10	0.4	6 2	-
1868	4	es	$6 10\frac{1}{2}$	4	9	5	17.	:	+	22	:	:	4 0	4	6	:	:	20	9	-	50	က	2	0	4 10	10
1869	es	4	4 6	9	0	10	32			200	9	727	5 73	E-a	20	-1	19	2	8 0	63	-	0	00	2	62	9
1870	2	52	5 7	44	6	9	10	4 3	44		9	0	6 1	4	10%	rO	20	4	8	11	+	103	9	10	0 9	5
1871	10	es	5 103	9	-	6 1	0.3	$5 10^{1}_{2}$	5	10^{1}_{2}	9	72	6 83	1-	1	1-	63	2	80	9	7	7	8	9	6 5	1-
1872	4	4	4 1	10	2	10	2	6 1	9	-	9	0	0 9	9	11	6 1	_	00	- 6	0 3	~	9	2	6	6 4	9
1873	2	77	<u>r</u> -		9	8 1	Ti I	9 1	00	6	-1	2	* 00	6	10	10	00		:	•	12	9	13 6	9	8 10	6
1874	00	2	80	9	00	£-	8	5 7	φ	part	8	6	4 9	4	0	4	rica rm	8	9	63	4	77	5	40	5 3	5
1875	4	mes L=	4 53	10	-	10	44	44 T.e	12 5	10	2	25.	5 8	70	6	10	22	-4	-7	7	00	73	00	13	2 11	9
1876	:	•	5 6	:	:	2	200		5	က	4	101	4 9	-22	23	10	-01	9	9	-	5	10	5	5	10	10
1877	4	70	4 0 3		4	4	4	3 7	75 3	7	7	0	4 10	1-0	27	-	87	00	23 8	23	-	10	7 10		5 83	10
1878	2	9	5 6	10	113	5 1	-21	2 1	5	-	4	70	4	4	<u></u>	+	1-	20	0.1 5	5	10	Ξ	5 11	50	23	10
1879	65	10	50	C.	-	cr	7	3	67	6	۲.	-	7		00	10	00	No day.	0.1	9	No.	No duo-	9 9	7	4.1	M.

The average annual rates for steam and sail are shown in the last column. Separating these yearly averages into two periods of nine years each,—the first one (1862 to 1870,) gives an average rate of 5s. 7d. Stg. per 480 lbs. for saileraft, and 6s. 6d. for steamships,—the averages for the second (1871 to 1879,) being respectively 5s. 11d. and 6s. 3d. These results show an increase of 4d. for sail-craft, during the last period, but a decrease of 3d. for steamships. It would appear, therefore, that, on the foregoing theory, all the advantages of larger vessels have not yet accrued to Montreal exporters. There can be no doubt, however, that the greater tonnage of the vessels employed in the regular grain-carrying trade of the River St. Lawrence (especially of steamships) in later years, has given facilities for vastly more rapid transportation of larger cargoes.

Jε

F

M

M

J

J

E

5

1

A remark made elsewhere, regarding freight-rates on inland waters, may be repeated here, viz., that while averages for periods of years are convenient criteria, they do not always afford sufficient data for conclusions. The tables on pp. 35 and 36, will enable the enquirer to ascertain the rates of ocean-freights on one day in each week during the seasons of summer navigation in 1878 and 1879, at Montreal, Boston, New York and Baltimore; while a table on page 37 affords data for comparing rates once a week at New York and Montreal, in the present year (1880).

Comparative Rates from Montreal and Boston to Liverpool for two years.

			1878.			1879.	
D_A	TE.	ł.	O LIVERPOOL.	BOSTON to LIVERPOOL p. GO 1hs.		O LIVERPOOL.	BOSTON LIVERPOOD
		SAIL.	STEAM.	STEAM.	SAIL.	STEAM.	STEAM
Jan,	14 21 28 4 11 18 25 2 9 16 23 30 6 13	s. d. s. d	s. d. s. d. 9 0.00 Wavieuto motivation of the control of the	d. 1. 8 1. 2 9 9 9 9 10 10 10 9 9 8 7 7 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7	s. d. s. d	8. d. s. d. 8 0 @ 6 6 " 6 6 " 6 6 " 6 6 " 6 6 " 6 6 " 6 6 " 6 6 " 6 6 " 6 6 " 7 6 6 " 7 6 6 " 7 7 8 8 8 4 9 3 6 4 4 0 3 3 4 4 0 2 6 4 4 0 3 3 4 4 0 2 9 4 4 0 2 9 4 4 0 2 9 4 4 0 0 3 3 4 4 0 0 3 3 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 0 3 6 4 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	d
" July "	20 27 4 11		6 0 " 6 3 5 9 " 6 3 5 6 " 6 0 4 6 " 5 9	63 63 64 61	3 3 " 4 3 3 3 " 4 3 3 0 " 4 3 2 9 " 4 0	3 3 4 4 3 3 3 4 4 3 3 0 4 4 3 2 9 4 4 0	5 4 4 3
61	$\frac{18}{25}$	4 6 4 5 0 4 6 6 5 0	4 6 4 5 0	61 61	3 0 " 4 3 3 9 " 5 0	3 0 " 4 3 3 9 " 5 0	6 7
Aug.	8	4 0 4 6 4 0 6 5 0	4 0 " 4 6 4 0 " 5 0	$\begin{array}{c c} 6\frac{7}{2} \\ 6\frac{1}{2} \end{array}$	5 0 4 5 9 5 0 4 6 0	5 0 " 5 9 5 0 " 6 0	8
22	15	4 0 4 4 6	4 0 " 4 6	$ 6\frac{1}{2} $	5 0 " 6 0	5 0 " 6 0	8
66	22 29	4 0 4 6 4 3 5 0	4 0 4 6 6 4 3 6 5 0	6 6	5 0 " 6 0 4 6 " 5 0	5 0 4 6 0	7
Sept.	5	4 3 4 5 0 4 6 4 5 0	4 3 4 5 0 4 6 4 5 0	6 j	5 0 " 5 9	5 0 4 5 9	6
41	12	4 6 " 5 0	4 6 " 5 0	6	5 34 6 0	5 3 " 6 0	6
13	19	4 0 " 5 0		6	5 3 " 6 0	5 9 " 6 6	7 73
Oct.	26 3	4 3 " 4 6 5 0 " 5 3	4 3 " 4 6 5 0 " 5 3	6	5 9 " 6 6	5 9 " 6 6 6	73
44	10	"	5 0 " 5 3	6	46	6 0 4 7 6	81
66	17	u	5 0 4 5 3	6		7 0 4 7 6	9
64	24	4 6 4 5 0	5 0 4 5 6	7	, "	7 6 " 8 0	81
44	31	5 0 4 5 6	5 0 " 5 6	71	"	6 6 4 7 6	8
Nov.	7	5 6 " 6 0	5 6 4 6 0	8	"	6 6 4 7 0	8
46	14	6 6 4 7 0	6 6 4 7 0	71	"	6 0 4 6 6	7
16	21 28	(1	**** 41	7	44	6 0 4 6 6	6
Dec.	28	uo	E	7 7	6	0 0 4 8 6	6
11	12	Navigation Closed.	Navigation Glosed,	7	66	0 0 4 7 6	6
44	19	Clo u	Clore	6	"	0 6 4 7 0	44
86	26	× "	× "	53	46	0 0 4 7 0	5

to 1879,)
use of 4d.
ships. It
ntages of
can be no
use regular
ships) in
of larger

t column. —the first . for sail-

s, may be
t criteria,
on pp. 35
hts on one
and 1879,
37 affords
he present

36

Comparative Rates from New York and Baltimore to Liverpool for two years.

			187	8.				187	9.		
DATE.		New To Livi Per 6	ERPOOL.	To L	LTIMO IVER r 60 l	POOL.	NRW To Liv Per 6	York Erpool. 0 lbs.	To L	LTIMOR IVERPO 60 lbs	OL
		Steam.	Sail.	s	tean	1.	Steam.	Sail,	S	team.	
		d,	d.	d.		d.	đ,	d.		d.	
January	3	91	81	11	a	114	51			6	
14	10	10	81	103		11	6			61	
.4	17	91	8	11	a	113	53			$6\frac{1}{2}$	
	24	$9\frac{7}{9}$	73	11	ω	111	6	6		7	
44	31	91	7 1		11	1	53	6		71	
February -	7	$9\frac{1}{2}$	71		11		$5\frac{3}{4}$	51		8	
44	14	10	$7\frac{1}{2}$		11		6	5		63	
EI	21	9	74	1	11		6	51/2	64		7
5.0	28	8	$7\frac{1}{2}$	101	ω	11	6	5 1		74	
March	7	$7\frac{1}{2}$	7	10	W	101	63	$5\frac{1}{2}$		$7\frac{1}{2}$	
46	14	$6\frac{3}{4}$	1	1	91	. ($6\frac{1}{2}$	53		$7\frac{1}{2}$	
"	21	63	64	9	a	91	6	$5\frac{1}{2}$		71	
46	28	7	13.3	9	(a)	91	53	54	63		7
April	4	81	7 1/2		9		53	53		$6\frac{3}{4}$	
6.6	11	8	7	1	$8\frac{1}{2}$		6	53	718	@	7:
"	18	7	61	81	@	81	6	53	7		7
4,	25	7 1	7		81		61	$5\frac{1}{2}$		63	
May	2	8 1	8		9		51	58		61	
4.6	9	8	7	91	@	$9\frac{1}{2}$	5.	58	61		6
44	16	8	7	83	@	9	5.1	5	64		6
44	23	73	$6\frac{1}{2}$	8	@	$8\frac{1}{2}$	51	5		6	
•	30	8	7		8		5	5	}	5	
June	6	81	7	02	81		54	5	4.7	5	
44	13	81	7	83	@	9	5	41	41		5
66	20	81	71	03	9		43	41	6	aske	
	27	74	71	83	@	9	4.	41	4		4
July	4	7		81	@	83	4	41	4	@	4
"	18	7	* * * *	81	7	81	5 61	41	7	6	ly
"	25	63 6	5	H	8		7 2	• • • •	71	@	7.8
August	1	73	6	li	8		73		81		8
ii ug ust	8	8	6		8		7 ⁴ / ₂ 8 ¹ / ₂		81		8
44	15	8		73	@	8	$7\frac{1}{2}$	7108	8	@	8
46	22	71	7	1 2	71		73	7		8	٥
**	29	72	7	71	@	8	73	7	73	@	8
September	5	61		'2	71		74		-4	71	_
"	12	51		61	@	7	61		61		6
44	19	51		61	@	61	74	7	61	@	6 6
46	26	61		1	61	- 2	73		7	@	8
October	3	$6\frac{1}{2}$	6		61		9	9		9	
44	10	62	$6\frac{1}{2}$	8	â	61	9	9		9	
44	17	7 1/2			8	-	81	8		91	
44	24	71/2	• • • •		8		73	71		81	
66	31	8	$7\frac{1}{2}$		8	i	64	61		9	
November	7	73	74		81		8	7		9	
6.	14	71	71/2	88		83	61/2	7	9	@	9
46	21	7 1 7 1 7 1	$7\frac{1}{2}$	83	@	83 83	64	7	7	aske	d
46	28		74	1	81		61	6	6		в
December	5	74	71	73		8	51	5		5	
66	12	6		1	81		4	41		4	
46	19	51/2			71		4	41		5	
64	26	53		7	a	73	3			5	

wit

Ma

Jui

6

Jul

.

Αι

6

4

Эс

thi

ex; ax St.

thi Tì

fro

The following quotations for 1880, show rates in Montreal as compared with New York:—

	DATE.					Mon	TREAL.				New	York.
	1880.	PER QU Iron C				am.				Steam.	Steam.	of 60 lbs. Sail.
May	7	в. 4	d. 0	@	8. 4	d. 6	******	d .	@	$m{6}_{4}^{3}$	$\frac{d}{4\frac{1}{2}}$	$\frac{d}{4\frac{1}{2}}$
66	14	3	9	*6	4	3	-	58	4.6	$6\frac{3}{8}$	41	$4\frac{1}{2}$
4.	21	3	9	16	4	3	==	5 ģ	4+	$6\frac{8}{8}$	5	31 60 4
**	28	3	6	44	4	0	2.000	51	.4	6	3	
June	4	3	6	44	4	0		$5\frac{1}{4}$	44	6	4	3 @ 3
"	11	3	9	44	4	3	===	5 §	44	63	5	$3\frac{1}{2}$
**	18	4	0	16	4	3		6	44	63	5 @ 54	41
+4	25	4	3	44	5	0		$6\frac{3}{8}$.4	$7\frac{1}{2}$	6	41 @ 8
July	2	4	9	u	5	3	===	71	44	77		
"	9	4	9	41	5	6	===	718	"	81	6 @ 64	5
44	16	4	6	"	5	6	===	$6\frac{3}{4}$	44	81	61	$-6\frac{1}{2}$
44	23	5	0	::	5	6	==	$7\frac{1}{2}$		81	8	$6^{\frac{5}{7}}$
44	30	5	3	44	6	0	-	77	ti	9	9 @ 94	7 1/2
Augu	st 6	5	6	46	6	0	===	81		9	8	$7\frac{1}{2}$
"	13	12	0	44	5	9	Military andread	$7\frac{1}{2}$. 4	$8\frac{5}{8}$	7.1	
46	20	4	0	44	5	0	2==	6	44	7.1	61	
44	27	3	6	"	4	3	==	5}	::	68	61	
Sept.	3	3	0	66	4	0	==	$4\frac{1}{2}$	44	6	61	
"	10	2	9	4	3	6	-	41	4.6	54	51/4	
44	17	2	9	ш	3	6	-	418	44	51	51	
46	24	2	9	"	3	9	202	41	+6	5 §	54 @ 51	
Oct.	1,	3	3	46	4	0	-	47	44	6	51 @ 54	
"	8,	4	0	16	5	0		6	"	$7\frac{1}{2}$	61/4	
66	15	4	0	46	5	0	-	6	66	74	6	

There is a consideration that must not be overlooked, viz: that, other things being equal, the prevalence of high rates of ocean freight might be expected to induce vessels to seek the port where these can be obtained. A fair axiom would be:—High rates of freight, cet. par., should bring tonnage to the St. Lawrence,—more vessels would, by competition, tend to lower rates,—and this cheapening of transportation would naturally bring more freight to Montreal. The question is, therefore, a pertinent one:—What has prevented more vessels from seeking the port where they could seemingly earn most money?—and the

years.

TIMORE VERPOOL. 60 lbs. eam.

Ô

5 @ 7½ @ 8 @ 8¾ @ 8¾ @ 8¾

8 @ 8 7½ @ 6¾ @ 6¾

9 9 @ 9‡ asked @ 6‡ 5

4 5 5 reply is,—nothing but the more than countervailing charges that would be incurred. The hoped-for advantages of lower rates of freight, will be the result of lower port dues, less exorbitant towage charges, cheaper pilotage, and such improvements for navigating the River and Gulf as will lead to lower rates of insurance.

But notwithstanding all the drawbacks and disadvantages, there are, this year, two features in the trade of the River St. Lawrence, deserving of notice. (1.) There has been a steady flow of Grain from the West for shipment across the Atlantic on other than Montreal account; and (2.) steam tonnage seeking charter, appears to be beginning to prefer Montreal over other Atlantic ports when offering rates are the same. As before suggested, this is, no doubt, to be attributed to the increased depth of water in the ship-channel, as well as to the agitation about reduction of dues and other charges.

CRAFT FOR PORTS OF CALL.

It is worthy of note that, while the charges incident to the deepening of the ship-channel bear heavily upon tonnage and merchandise, this is measurably compensated for by the much larger class of steam and sail vessels now engaged in the regular trade between Montreal and Great Britain; for it seems that increased carrying capacity has not, within the past fifteen or twenty years, further resulted in materially lessening freight-rates. But there is another class of serviceable vessels, of much smaller tonnage, (say 400 to 700 tons,) and that with a full cargo, draw from 15 to 18 or 19 feet of water, which it is considered desirable to keep in the St. Lawrence trade. It is alleged on behalf of such craft, hailing from Norway, Sweden, Germany, Austria, Italy, Spain, &c., that the improvement of the ship-channel to any depth beyond 20 feet, involves an inequitable per centage of assessment on them. The pilotage and harbor charges, and especially the uncertain and arbitrary rates often levied for towage, have tended to drive them away. The table on page 39 shows the number and tonnages of vessels (steam and sail) which came to the port of Montreal during the past decade, and cleared with Grain to ports of call "for orders."

The decrease of vessels and cargoes in 1879 is remarkable, and unless the shipments formerly "for orders" are now being made direct to Continental Ports, it may be fairly inferred that shippers of Grain have so far lost some advantage which they formerly had when they used the class of vessels here referred to. The enlarged capacity of steam and sail vessels in the regular trade, and the constantly increasing per centage of steam tonnage, do not entirely compensate for their absence. From the statements on pp. 29, 30, 31 about towage, it will

2,164,800 2,665,018 2,161,995 2,126,571 2,892,767 2,617.793 1,354,462

2,169,936

330,395 430,839

Bushels.

TOTAL.

YEAR.

1870

1871

1873 1874 1875 1876

1872

1878 1879

1877

e incuresult of d such rates of

re, this notice. ic ports

of the surably engaged ns that y years, er class nd that sidered

of such c., that lves an harges, e, have onnages

he past ess the Ports,

vantage red to. and the

it will

pensate

across seeking t, to be s to the

3,519 1.000 1,600 1.284FLOUR Barrels. BARLEY & RYE 47,380 17,901 445,317 Bushels. CARGOES. 24,360 11,747 35,207 102,437 OATS. Bushels. 29,338 16,000 15,000 291,900 180,169 261,063 156,837 208,211 383,088 PEAS. Bushels. 22,376 319,500 716778 1,791,126 241,699 1.122,793 971,724 958,698 556,734 CORN. Bushels. 363,810 1,659,233 738,084 1,243,155 1.210,880 306,395 408,463 ,561,133 1,727,864 725,161 WHEAT. Bushels. 48,319 46,305 27,203 34,718 38,429 49,336 24,132 37,608 9,835 36,789 TOTAL. TONNAGE. 25,136 20,413 37,474 34,803 24,132 31,301 35,491 27,862 SAIL 11,653 14,305 17,018 955 2,117 21,474 11,502 STEAM. TOTAL. 74 80 74 96 76 93 86 89 54 OF VESSELS. SAIL. 99 10 99 93 06 09 11 7.0 No. STRAM. 14 18 21 26

be seen how heavily and arbitrarily the charges for that service, bear upon the class of vessels which have heretofore been in favor for ports of call.

There is another view of this part of the subject, as regards the trade of Montreal, which is very seldom taken into account,—viz., the loss that would be sustained by tradesmen and dealers, if the vessels here referred to are compelled to forsake the St. Lawrence. It may be stated, on the authority of firms doing business in this city, that, exclusively of pilotage, towage, harbor dues, &c., the average disbursements of vessels of 600 to 1000 tons register, is about \$800 each. If this be so, then the absence of 35 port-of-call vessels in 1879, (that being the difference as compared with 1878), involved a loss to the local trade of \$28,000.

RATES OF MARINE INSURANCE.

The question of Marine Insurance is one of considerable importance in relation to the trade of the River and Gulf of St. Lawrence—rates heretofore having often constituted a considerable charge both upon imports and exports, and sometimes without equitable discrimination as to risk. The following are comparative (nominal) rates at the ports of New York and Montreal:—

			New	York					Mor	NTREAL.		
	8	ŠAIL	l.	s	TEAS	м.		SA	IL.		STEA	м,
graphical properties and the second control of the second control	per	r ce	nt.	pe	r ce	nt.	p	er c	ent.	pe	er ce	ent.
To London	34	(a)	$2\frac{1}{2}$	1	\widehat{a}	1	4	1	0 3	1/2	@	1
Liverpool	$\frac{3}{4}$	u,	$2\frac{1}{2}$	1	66	1	3		3	1/2	"	1
Glasgow	å	66	$2\frac{1}{2}$	8	44	1	. 4	. 4	4 3	3	"	1
Cork	$\frac{3}{4}$	"	$2\frac{1}{3}$	1/2	"	1	1	. (· 3	8		1
Havre	84	44	$2\frac{1}{2}$	1	46	1	3		3	Ť	44	1
Hamburg) Bremen	3	44	$2\frac{1}{2}$	38	es	14	34		u 3	34	"	1
Bordeaux	1	"	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	"	1	1		$u = 3\frac{1}{2}$	1	6.6	2
Smyrna Trieste	11	66	2	34	44	1‡		٠.				

explored of 2 by F state of S state a ri advantadd insured to the front of the state of the stat

tho effer and risk call for shi per und

a p

rati fore

at to St. lig

In pr mi ca pon the

trade of yould be impelled as doing &c., the it \$800 g, (that al trade

tance in eretofore exports, wing are

or cent.

" 1½
" 1½

" 1½ " 1¾

" 1]

· · 2

•••

The rates thus formulated would be apt to mislead, without a word or two of explanation; for, even if the quotations were uniformly obtained, there is a deduction of 20 per cent, made at both ports by American Companies, and 10 per cent. by European ones, the rates of the latter being said to be lower. Both of the statements give a wide range for the season. At Montreal, before the 1st of September, risks have been taken this year on grain in A 1 steam tonnage at Iths per cent., and at 1/2 to 1/4ths per cent. by iron clippers and steamers in the regular trade. There is a rule, -not exactly an iron-clad one, -by which there is a rise in rates of 18th per cent, on and after 1st September, and further similar advances on 15th September, 1st October, and 15th October respectively. Each addition of the per cent. is equal to \$1 on every 1,000 bushels of wheat so insured; the increase of \(\frac{1}{2} \) per cent, within the six weeks would, therefore, be equal to \$4 on every 1,000 bushels of wheat, and would add more than \$7,000 to the cost of the quantity (about 1,785,000 bushels) shipped from Montreal from 1st September to 20th October, in the present year. It is said that the ratio of advance on and after 15th October depends upon the weather; this therefore, involves a special arrangement.

The rates tabulated above are somewhat higher for Montreal risks, than for those of New York. It has been remarked, however, that insurances have been effected on some occasions lately in which the difference favored shippers here; and Insurance Companies appear now to be tacitly acknowledging the lessened risk by the competition which exists at variable rates, and below what may be called tariff charges. To say the least of it, there seems to be no good reason now for the same Companies exacting higher premiums on grain cargoes, for instance, shipped from Montreal, than are accepted by them from New York; for, during a period of seven years, (1873 to 1879 inclusive), of all the shipments from Montreal under the Port Warden's regulations,—not a single accident or loss occurred all that time, in consequence of a vessel being grain-laden. On the other hand, during a period of about nine months, (1st September, 1878, to 11th June, 1879), of the vessels which loaded grain at New York, seven (7) were abandoned, and thirteen (13) reported missing.

The arrangements which have been in progress during the past three years, at the instance of the Dominion Government, for extending the telegraphic system to the principal islands of the Gulf,—notably, Anticosti, the Magdalen and St. Paul's Islands, Bird Rocks, &c., are now on the eve of completion. The light-houses in the River and Gulf of St. Lawrence will be placed in telegraphic connection with the shore-lines and signal stations, to work in accord with the International Code, which is capable of indicating 78,642 distinct signals. The project includes the establishment of a daily Telegraphic Bulletin, for transmitting frequent reports about the weather, vessels passing inward or outward, casualties, and communicating with pilot stations, tug companies, &c. When the

work is completed,—as it will probably be about the opening of navigation in 1881,—it will be easy and safe for ships to navigate the great Canadian Water Highway. This surely warrants a considerable reduction in rates of marine insurance, and a large increase in the steam and sail fleet in the trade of the St. Lawrence.

rasse large unac from ever; the p invest there show

wordings co-o Har for

com

Tru

to ton abo

inla eleat Water marine of the

SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

- 1. The carrying trade of Canada, via the River St. Lawrence, is embarrassed by a multitude of charges and rates of one kind and another; some are large, while many, singly and apart from the others, erroneously appear to persons unacquainted with details, to be of very little consequence. Water-borne merchandise from and to the West by the St. Lawrence route should be relieved from every extraneous burden,—otherwise, our fair share of West-bound traffic, and the proportionate volume of the eastward traffic will continue to decrease. Such an untoward result would make it appear that the many millions of dollars invested in the Canals and Ship-Channel have been expended in vain. It seems, therefore, to be the dictate of wisdom that the water-highways of the Dominion should, in the meantime, be made available for enlarging and extending Canadian commerce, whether they yield any present direct revenue to the Government or not.
- 2. Montreal can be made the cheapest and best Port in the world, for sea-going steam and sail tonnage. Such a consummation would be of incalculable benefit to the trade and commerce of the whole country; and the hearty co-operation of the Shipping Interest and the Commercial Organizations, with the Harbor Trust, the Civic Authorities, and the Dominion Government, is invoked for its accomplishment.
- 3. The Dominion Government should immediately relieve the Harbor Trust from the expense attending the deepening of Lake St. Peter, and improving the Ship-Channel between Montreal and Quebec.
- 4. Wharfage on all ocean-cargoes, inward and outward, should be reduced to the lowest possible rates, or if practicable abolished. Wharfage on ocean-tonnage should be reduced to the level of Baltimore and Philadelphia, and abolished on grain-carrying inland craft.
- 5. Canal tolls on Breadstuffs and Provisions should be abolished, and inland traffic should be exempted from all obstructive charges. The use of the electric light in the harbor of Montreal, now admits of loading and unloading at night,—to prevent detentions, therefore, between Kingston and Montreal, it

will be essential to have Lakes St. Louis and St. Francis lighted, so as to be navigable by night for tows of barges.

- 6. The Harbor Trust of Montreal ought to be authorized to provide for an efficient towage service, at lowest possible rates.
- 7. With a view to greater efficiency, and to provide for the anticipated increase of vessels coming into the St. Lawrence trade, the Pilotage service should be remodelled, and pilotage charges reduced.
- 8. Rates and charges incident to the transfer, storage, and loading of Grain cargoes should be reduced to a minimum.
- 9. An effort should be made to reduce rates of premium of ocean marine insurance in accordance with lessened risks secured by the Port Warden's service, and the electro-signal and telegraphic system in the Gulf and River St. Lawrence.

as to be Company of the control of the contro Control of the Contro ovide for with the second process of the second The area of the second second ticipated THE RESTRICTION OF THE PROPERTY. e should · Comment of the second of the second of of Grain to the property of the section of th and and the second of the seco narine Varden's The grow that the water of the work and the growth at the first. River St.